

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:	Email:
Date Manuscript Received: 9/29/2017	Date Manuscript Review Submitted: 10/9/2017
Manuscript Title: Career Counseling at the Universities: The Bangladesh Scenario	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 148.09.2017	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-less point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<p><i>The second research question: Do (instead of Are) the existing... This needs to be changed in the Conclusion as well.</i></p> <p><i>Conclusion: should say "... large numbers of young people" or "...is a large number of" Same with the phrase "...large number of jobs to be filled". For this case, "...is a large number of jobs to be filled" sounds more appropriate.</i></p> <p><i>Delete the word "in" after the phrase above so it reads "...a large number of jobs to be filled by highly qualified people..."</i></p>	

<i>Also in Conclusion, "...can get the right job opportunities and select a suitable career..."</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	
<i>Just the grammatical errors noted above</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>The idea of saying that the researchers "feel" a conclusion is entirely subjective and could be better communicated with wording that leads the researchers to this conclusions based upon the data retrieved rather than their feelings. The rest of the conclusion is fine.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>Example : Ahmed, A., & Azim, M. (2016). Employment scenario in Bangladesh: A study on the gap between expectations of employers and the quality of graduates.. <i>The International Journal of Entrepreneurship & Development Studies</i>, 4(2), 175-195.</i>	
<i>APA, see below</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

This is a better version with a clearer picture of the components the researchers were examining. There are still a few grammatical mistakes and the inclusion of the researchers "feelings", which dilutes the conclusions. There are still some references that are not in APA format, which should be resolved unless the publisher overrides this suggestion.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

