



Paper: “Factores De Deserción En Los Estudiantes De La Licenciatura En Derecho, Generación 2016-2020, En La Universidad Juárez Autónoma De Tabasco, División Académica Multidisciplinaria De Los Ríos”

Corresponding Author: Isabel Gutiérrez Rodríguez

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n13p54

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Francisco Javier Mejía Ochoa
Instituto Tecnológico Superior de Zongolica, México

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer 4: Susana Sousa Machado
Polytechnic Institute of Porto, Portugal

Published: 31.05.2020

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Francisco Javier Mejía Ochoa.	Email:
University/Country: Instituto Tecnológico Superior de Zongolica - México	
Date Manuscript Received: 26-03-2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 30-03-2020
Manuscript Title: FACTORS OF DROPOUT IN NEW STUDENTS OF THE BACHELORS DEGREE IN LAW, DAMRIOS	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 16.04.2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <u>Yes</u> /No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: <u>Yes</u> /No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: <u>Yes</u> /No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
DAMRÍOS es la División Académica Multidisciplinaria de los Ríos. En ese sentido, ya está especificada en la parte inferior de la portada. Por tanto, es posible replantearse si debe ir en el título o se puede sobre comprender con lo que posteriormente se acitaron.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
Se sugiere, anexar datos duros para complementar el resumen.	

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
Importante, tomar en cuenta las observaciones especificadas en el documento.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
Se sugiere citar autores con base en su investigación cualitativa, explicativa y técnico-documental.	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3
Importante, tomar en cuenta las observaciones especificadas en el documento.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
Se sugiere anexar información como gráficas, tablas y figuras, para complementar sus conclusiones.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
Sí.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

El tema que abordan es de suma importancia para comprender los factores que impactan en el ámbito escolar con base en la deserción, fenómeno social vigente, a través de distintas generaciones. En ese tenor, su artículo seguramente proporcionará un eje académico para el colectivo docente de nivel superior. Finalmente, los exhorto a continuar con el mismo, para su pronta publicación, ánimo y enhorabuena.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The subject of the article is of great relevance in social, economic and educational studies.



ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: FACTORS OF DROPOUT IN NEW STUDENTS OF THE BACHELORS DEGREE IN LAW, DAMRIOS	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0416/	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
El título es claro en su redacción, pero el desarrollo del trabajo se ubica en un contexto más general que el del caso señalado en su enunciado.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3

<p>El abstracto es correcto, pero está algo desacoplado con respecto a las expectativas que genera la propuesta.</p> <p>En efecto, el artículo presenta el formato y estilo de un proyecto de investigación. Se presenta como un estudio cualitativo, y es consecuente en la gama de fenómenos señalados para su observación, y también explicativo. Se apoya en varios datos estadísticos, lo cual confiere al texto un aval empírico, pero la procedencia de los mismos no queda siempre clara.</p>	
<p>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>Se recomienda una revisión intensa del artículo, en particular de su ortografía. Faltan numerosas tildes o acentos ortográficos, además de otros errores.</p> <p>Hay siglas en minúsculas.</p> <p>La sintaxis no presenta mayores problemas.</p>	
<p>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>Sí, pero al presentarse como un estudio cualitativo, debería dar ejemplos de la aplicación de los métodos correspondientes (entrevistas en profundidad por ejemplo).</p>	
<p>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</p>	
<p>Además de las observaciones realizadas en el punto 3, se recomienda dar al texto un formato acorde a un artículo. Por ejemplo, poniendo títulos específicos a los apartados (no “Main text”), omitiendo los dos puntos luego de los subtítulos, etc.</p>	
<p>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>Contextualiza el resultado en el contexto general de la educación superior en México, pero sería de esperar una mayor ponderación del caso particular al cual alude el título del artículo.</p>	
<p>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</p>	<p>4</p>
<p>La bibliografía es correcta y figura en el apartado correspondiente. Pero serían necesarias citas cortas o al pie de página en el texto.</p>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation)

Accepted, no revision needed	
------------------------------	--

Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

El trabajo parece ser un proyecto de investigación algo modificado, razón por la cual es más solvente con aspectos referentes al marco teórico del problema, que con respecto al problema mismo que plantea como objeto de estudio, y que en la literatura sobre metodología consituye un estudio de caso.

Se reconoce el mérito de abordar cuestiones como la decersión educativa y sus impactos negativos a nivel personal y social.

Se recomienda una revisión estilística general a fin de presentar un formato acorde a las pautas de este tipo de producciones (es decir, académicas).

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Se recomienda su publicación porque es importante estimular la investigación empírica, pero necesita las revisiones indicadas.



ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Susana Sousa Machado	
Date Manuscript Received:01.04.2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 13.04.2020
Manuscript Title: FACTORES DE DESERCIÓN EN ALUMNOS DE NUEVO INGRESO DE LA LICENCIATURA EN DERECHO, DAMRÍOS	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
Lack of references to law students	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
Adequate	

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
Correct some mistakes, such as spaces and symbols in the middle of the text	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
There was no coherence between the hypothesis and the methods	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
Adequate	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2
The conclusions are poor. There is no special reference to the law students. In general, this text could support any degree.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
Adequate but too much general.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	x
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The text needs to be revised because it is too general about student's dropout, and not about law student's dropout. The article's considerations could be applied to any degree. There is no special interest in the authors' conclusions. More references are suggested, in particular, to the situation of the law degree.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: