



**Manuscript: “Adolescents’ Attitude towards Masturbation: Practical Study on a Sample of Senior High School Students in the Cape Coast Metropolis of Ghana”**

**Submitted: 13 September 2020**

**Accepted: 13 October 2020**

**Published: 31 October 2020**

Corresponding Author: Kwaku Amponsah

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2020.v16n28p195](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2020.v16n28p195)

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Ojewola, Florence Olubunmi

Adekunle Ajasin University, Akungba-Akoko, Ondo State, Nigeria

**Reviewer 2:** Ola Naser

Damascus University, Syria

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Ojewola, Florence Olubunmi	
University/Country: Adekunle Ajasin University, Akungba-Akoko, Ondo State ,Nigeria	
Date Manuscript Received: 09112/20	Date Review Report Submitted:
<b>Manuscript Title: Adolescents’ Attitude towards Masturbation: The Case of Senior High School Students in the Cape Coast Metropolis of Ghana</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:    Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:    Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:    Yes	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>x</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):** Suggestions have been made in the body of the research work, the researchers should effect the corrections. If it is possible they should reduce the length of the pages and adhere to the standard font size of 12.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Date Manuscript Received: September. 14, 2020	Date Review Report Submitted: September. 19, 2020
Manuscript Title: Adolescents' Attitude towards Masturbation: The Case of Senior High School Students in the Cape Coast Metropolis of Ghana	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 09112/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/ <b>No</b>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes</b> /No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes</b> /No	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<b><i>Questions</i></b>	<b><i>Rating Result</i></b> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>  The title of the research presents a topic that is not novel in the international research, except for the fact it is carries out with the participation of adolescents in Ghana, Africa. But, this is a comparative Practical study, not a case study, so it is best to change the title: Adolescents' Attitude towards Masturbation: Practical Study on A Sample of Senior High School Students in the Cape Coast Metropolis of Ghana.	<b>4</b>
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>3</b>

There is no enough clear description of the sample, and the abstract should have at least short discussion of the results.	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
There are few grammatical mistakes and spelling mistakes.	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>3</b>
<p>- The questionnaires used in the study need more detailed description (items, Psychometric Properties of the Scale. etc.) thus adding a paragraph entitled Research Tools.</p> <p>- The study employed a different statistical methods to explore adolescents' attitudes towards masturbation:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Mann Whitney U: Nonparametric tests.</li> <li>2. T-Test: Parametric tests.</li> </ol> <p>What's the reason? How to ensure the normal distribution of the sample?</p>	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
There are few grammatical mistakes and spelling mistakes.	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>3</b>
Results of the study should be added and discussed logically and thoroughly with comparing with previous studies.	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
The references are comprehensive and appropriate, it is preferable to use recent references, however.	

**Overall Recommendation**(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Dear author, I can see that you have made a lot of work. I do believe drawbacks of your research can be corrected, improved, and observations carried.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**