

Manuscript: “**Evaluation de la Pollution Métallique des Sédiments Superficiels de la Lagune Potou en Période d’été (Littoral de la Côte d’Ivoire)**”

Submitted: 19 June 2020

Accepted: 27 November 2020

Published: 30 November 2020

Corresponding author: Diangone Eric

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n33p70

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Amina Wafik Cadi Ayyad  
University, Faculty of Sciences Semlalia

**Reviewer 2:** Hassan Lemacha  
Université Hassan II de Casablanca, Maroc

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Reviewer Name: Amina WAFIK   |  |
| University/Country: Cadi Ayyad University, Faculty of Sciences Semlalia  |  |
| Date Manuscript Received: 24/08/2020   | Date Review Report Submitted: 26/08/20 |
| Manuscript Title: <b>Evaluation de la pollution métallique des sédiments superficiels de la lagune Potou en période d'été (Littoral de la Côte d'Ivoire)</b>   |  |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 07-072020   |  |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <b>Yes</b>   |  |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes</b><br>You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes</b> |  |

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

| <i>Questions</i>   | <i>Rating Result</i><br>[Poor] 1-5<br>[Excellent] |
|--|---|
| <b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b> | <b>4</b>  |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>   |   |
| <b>Oui</b>   |   |
| <b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>          | <b>4</b>  |

|  |          |
|--|----------|
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>   |          |
| <b>Oui</b>   |          |
| <b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>  | <b>4</b> |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>   |          |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Il manque la liste des abréviations ;</li> <li>- La qualité des figures est à améliorer.</li> </ul>   |          |
| <b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>   | <b>3</b> |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>   |          |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Oui,</li> <li>- Il faut réaliser des diagrammes binaires entre éléments ou au moins faire calculer les matrices de corrélations. ;</li> <li>- Insérer les tableaux des analyses géochimiques des sédiments en précisant les seuils de détection.</li> </ul> |          |
| <b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>  | <b>4</b> |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>   |          |
| - Il ya des fautes de frappe,  |          |
| <b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>  | <b>3</b> |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>   |          |
| <b>Oui</b>   |          |
| <b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>  | <b>3</b> |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>   |          |

**Overall Recommendation**(mark an X with your recommendation) :

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| Accepted, no revision needed               |            |
| Accepted, minor revision needed            | <b>yes</b> |
| Return for major revision and resubmission |            |
| Reject                                     |            |

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Reviewer Name: Pr. Hassan LEMACHA  | Email:                                 |
| University/Country: Université Hassan II de Casablanca, Maroc  |  |
| Date Manuscript Received: 24/08/2020   | Date Review Report Submitted: 9/9/2020 |
| Manuscript Title: EVALUATION DE LA POLLUTION METALLIQUE DES SEDIMENTS SUPERFICIELS DE LA LAGUNE POTOU EN PERIODE D'ETIAGE (LITTORAL DE LA COTE D'IVOIRE) |  |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: <b>0707/20</b>  |  |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes  |  |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes   |  |
| You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes   |  |

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

| <i>Questions</i>   | <i>Rating Result</i>      |
|--|---------------------------|
|  | [Poor] 1-5<br>[Excellent] |
| <b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b> | <b>5</b>                  |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>   |                           |

|   |          |
|---|----------|
| <b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>             | <b>5</b> |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>  |          |
| <b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b> | <b>4</b> |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>  |          |
| <b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>                                | <b>5</b> |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>  |          |
| <b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>             | <b>4</b> |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>  |          |
| <b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>   | <b>5</b> |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>  |          |
| <b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>                       | <b>5</b> |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>  |          |

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

|  |          |
|--|----------|
| Accepted, no revision needed               |          |
| Accepted, minor revision needed            | <b>X</b> |
| Return for major revision and resubmission |          |
| Reject                                     |          |

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

- 1- **Ajouter le laboratoire d'attache pour les auteurs suivants: [MONDE Sylvain, COULIBALY Aoua](#)**
- 2- **Rectifier quelques impuretés dans le résumé et les mots clés**
- 3- **Attention à la numérotation des titres et des figures.**
- 4- **Centrez la figure 3**
- 5- **Mettre en page les figures**
- 6- **Quelques mots sont collés**
- 7- **Les corrections sont marquées par une couleur rouge sur le document.**

