

Paper: “**Dynamiques territoriales des Niayes: divergences économiques entre industrie extractive minière et agriculture (littoral Nord du Sénégal)**”

Submitted: 26 September 2020

Accepted: 01 December 2020

Published: 31 December 2020

Corresponding Author: Souleymane Niang

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n35p152

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Tabou Talahatou
University of Abomey-Calavi, Benin

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr Talahatou TABOU	
University/Country: Bénin	
Date Manuscript Received: 23/11/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 25/11/2020
Manuscript Title: DYNAMIQUES TERRITORIALES DES NIAYES: DIVERGENCES ECONOMIQUES	

ENTRE INDUSTRIE EXTRACTIVE MINIERE ET AGRICULTURE (LITTORAL NORD DU SENEGAL)
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1043/20
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Proposition de titre : DYNAMIQUES TERRITORIALES DES NIAYES : D'EXPLOITATIONS AGRICOLES EN ZONE INDUSTRIELLE (LITTORAL NORD DU SENEGAL)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
Absence de Méthode d'échantillonnage et d'analyse	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	1
Vous devez presenter les statistiques et balancer dans la partie discussion les auteurs cites	

6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: