

Paper: “Genre, Violence et Harcèlement dans le Monde du Travail en Côte d’Ivoire)”

Submitted: 25 July 2022

Accepted: 26 October 2022

Published: 31 October 2022

Corresponding Author: Adou Appiah

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n33p226](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n33p226)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Hermann ABBE

Reviewer 2: Karima Laamiri

Karima Laamiri

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-10-29 02:49 AM

Recommendation: Revisions Required

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

* The title is clear

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

* **The ABSTRACT must be more clear in terms of methods which should be more explicit.**

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

no

The study **METHODS** are explained clearly.

*** Yes, the study methods are clearly explained.**

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*** it is clear and correct.**

The **CONCLUSION** or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*** Yes, the conclusion is accurate and supported by the content.**

The list of **REFERENCES** is comprehensive and appropriate.

*** Yes, the list of references is comprehensive and appropriate.**

*Please rate the **TITLE** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the **ABSTRACT** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the **LANGUAGE** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Hermann ABBE

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-10-29 02:49 AM

Recommendation: Revisions Required

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

* Le TITRE est clair et il est adéquat au contenu de l'article

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

* **REVOIR LA PREMIERE PHRASE DU RESUME !**

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

QUELQUES COQUILLES A CORRIGER

The study **METHODS** are explained clearly.

* **Les MÉTHODES de l'étude sont clairement expliquées.**

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

* **Le corps du document est effectivement clair.**

The **CONCLUSION** or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

* **La CONCLUSION est exacte et étayée par le contenu.**

The list of **REFERENCES** is comprehensive and appropriate.

* **La liste des RÉFÉRENCES est complète et appropriée.**

*Please rate the **TITLE** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the **ABSTRACT** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the **LANGUAGE** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject