

Paper: “Language, Religion and Culture as Tools for Peaceful Co-Existence: A Case Study of South-Western Nigeria”

Submitted: 21 June 2023

Accepted: 01 August 2023

Published: 31 August 2023

Corresponding Author: Ajibade Iyabode

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n23p84](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n23p84)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Julius Gathogo
ANCCI University and Keenyatta University

Reviewer 2: Penelope Anastasiadou
Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr. PENELOPE ANASTASIADOU	
University/Country: ARISTOTLE UNIVERSITY OF THESSALONIKI/ GREECE	
Date Manuscript Received: July 03, 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: July 10, 2023
Manuscript Title: The Nigerian Language/ Religion and Culture: Tools for Peaceful Co-Existence in the South-Western Nigeria	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4

<p>A.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It could be improved: «Language, Religion and Culture as tools for Peaceful Co-Existence: A case study of South-Western Nigeria». <p>B.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please Erase Under the title: «by/ Mrs/ Mr / &» • Write the Authors Professional Status [<i>Professors/ Ph. D/ Post – Doc Students?</i> etc] 	
<p>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</p>	<p>2</p>
<p>State (briefly) the results of your research.</p>	
<p>3.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are few errors in this article. FOR EXAMPLE : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Page 9: «It willwill» - Page 3 Maxism - Page 5 : the paragraph starts: « and Western culture:....» • Check for Grammar and Spelling mistakes by Professional English Language Teacher. 	<p>3</p>
<p>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</p>	<p>2</p>
<p>There is Repetition of information. For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>the aim of this study is to investigate the use of language, religion and culture [page 2]</i> • <i>The purpose of this study therefore, is to answer these questions [page 4]</i> <p>On this Basis :</p> <p>A) Integrate the sections: “<i>Theoretical Framework/ Statement of the Problem/ Significance of the study</i>” To the «Introduction section</p> <p>B) THE SUBSECTIONS Should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1. Literature Review & The South-Western Nigeria Society[together as one section] • 2. Conceptual Framework <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2.1 <i>Language</i> • 2.2 <i>Concept of Culture</i> • 2.3 <i>Concept of Religion</i> • 3. Inter-Relationship of Language, Religion and Culture • 4. Peaceful co-existence <p>C) Remove the sections: “Research Questions” & “Objectives of the Study” to the Research Methodology section.</p>	

D) Page 14 erase the following sentence: “ <i>Although, most of the scholars did not have the present study in mind..</i> ”	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<p>A) Instead of “<i>biological information</i>” it could be more appropriate to write Demographic information</p> <p>B)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Figure 2: Medium of Entrenching Conflict Resolution [Give the percentage % of the figure]</i> • <i>Moreover, 72.2 percent of the respondents vouched for the use of language as a tool for conflict resolution. Whether in doubt or as a matter of inconsistency, 15.6 percent of the respondents are neutral while only 12.2 percent are against the use of language as a tool for conflict resolution [Give a table with percentage %].</i> <p>C) Page 11 : «<i>The questionnaire was clearly divided in VIZ</i>»: Make sure you state clearly what is VIZ</p> <p>D) Page 11: “<i>the <u>second part</u> focused on questions dealing with nature of conflict in the South-Western part of Nigeria, the <u>third part</u> focused on questions based on means of resolving conflict in the South-Western part of Nigeria, the <u>fourth part</u> concentrated on questions dealing with appraisal of the strategies</i>’. Please give all the appropriate details about your questionnaire Example: 1. Provide the <u>questions in details from each part</u> (2-4). 2. The year & duration of your survey, the obstacles (if any), the limitations, official permission of the authorities e.t.c.</p> <p>E) Integrate the Recommendations to this Section [Results & Discussion]</p>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2
<p>A.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Your conclusions are very general: [<i>In the process of carrying out this research work, various people came up with <u>useful suggestions and ideas</u> which can be very effective in conflict resolution such as what is expected of the government during political, religious and economic crisis</i>]. • Conclusions should be more specific. <i>You should summarized version of your overall questionnaire/ major points.</i> <p>B. Provide strong indication of why your topic matters.</p>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	2
<p>A) Be sure to use the APA citation style in your paper. [<i>See ESJ Author Guidelines</i>] FOR EXAMPLE THE FOLLOWING ARE NOT CORRECT:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anugwom, E. E. (2000). Ethnic conflict and democracy in Nigeria: The marginalization 	

question. *Journal of Social Development in Africa*. [Volume ? DOI? Etc]

- Ajibade, M. I. (2016). Language, style and nationalism in the selected Lawuyi Ogunniran's writings [Unpublished master thesis]. University of Ibadan. [Internet page?]

B)

- Some articles in your REFERENCES are not in your TEXT [for example Anugwom, E. E. (2001) / Silver, H. (1994)]
- Also some article in your TEXT are not in the REFERENCES [for example: King (2005) Isiramen (2010)]

C) You mention According to Raheem (2003), but in the References you write [Abdul-Raheem, A. H. (2003)]

D) Please check which is the correct year in the below REFERENCES.

Alexander, J. C. & Seidman, S. (1994) [in your TEXT 2004]

Durkheim, E. (1964) [in your TEXT 1997]

Odunjo, J. F. (1990) [in your TEXT 1961]

Robinson, M. (2002) [in your TEXT 2005]

page 8 (Odunjo, 1961,1990) [in your references you mention 1990, so which one?]

E) Please, enrich your References with few-*up to date-* articles [2023/2022/2021/2020/2019 etc]

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s)

Authors could enhance their paper with **international surveys/ papers**. [*What other researchers found about the topic*].

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Prof. Dr. Julius Gathogo	
University/Country: ANCCI University and Keenyatta University	
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: The Nigerian Language/ Religion and Culture: Tools for Peaceful Co-Existence in the South-Western Nigeria	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 05 -17.07.2023	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No YES	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4

<i>Find comments below</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>Find comments below</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Find comments below)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(find comments below)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>(See below)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Author should stop calling it “this study” right from the abstract and replace it with “research article” as this is not an MA or PhD thesis.

Author should check whether the research article has two research designs. That is, descriptive statistics or a survey research design.

Key words should be put alphabetically.

Page 5, there is a hanging sentence. No one knows how it began or what it is communicating. It reads as follows: “and Western culture: A case study of Soyinka’s Death and King’s Horseman and The Lion and the Jewel’ (Chika, 2011).”

To enrich his work, the author needs to read the article on “why study African religion today” and put it in his reference section,

[https://www.academia.edu/44222274/The Reason for Studying African Religion in Post colonial Africa](https://www.academia.edu/44222274/The_Reason_for_Studying_African_Religion_in_Post_colonial_Africa)

In the section on “**Significance of the study**” one wonders whether bullets are necessary.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: