

Paper: “Dynamique de l’Exploitation des Produits Forestiers non Ligneux Commercialisés dans le Département de Goudomp, Sénégal”

Submitted: 16 January 2024

Accepted: 20 March 2024

Published: 31 March 2024

Corresponding Author: Seydou Ndiaye

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n9p147](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n9p147)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Yaya Diatta

Université Assane Seck Ziguinchor, Département d’Agroforesterie, Sénégal

Reviewer 2: Souleymane Diomande

Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny, Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer A:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

le titre est très clair et est adéquat au contenu de l'article

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

toutes les parties ont été bien prises en compte dans le résumé

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Oui mais trop négligeable

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

les méthodes ont été très claires et bien expliquées

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

c'est très clair

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

la conclusion est exacte et étayée

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

les références ont été bien respectées. toutes les parties ont été respectées

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

cet article a été très bien rédigé. l'ensemble des différentes parties ont été respectées

Reviewer B:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

title is clear and adéquate to the content of manuscrit

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

the abstract was clearly presents

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

few grammatical and spelling mistakes

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

the methods are clearly

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

very clearly

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

the both are accurate and supported the content

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

anough comprehensive and appropriate

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

thank you for your immense contribution to the enhancement and promotion of research
