

The Impact of Aligning Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory with a Comprehensive Teacher Education Model on Pre-service Teachers' Attitudes and Teaching Practice

Pr. Karima Mechouat, PhD

Associate Professor, Centre Régional des Métiers de l'Éducation et de la Formation (CRMEF-Fès-Meknès-Maroc)

[Doi:10.19044/esj.2024.v20n28p135](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n28p135)

Submitted: 02 August 2024

Accepted: 25 October 2024

Published: 31 October 2024

Copyright 2024 Author(s)

Under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

Cite As:

Mechouat K. (2024). *The Impact of Aligning Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory with a Comprehensive Teacher Education Model on Pre-service Teachers' Attitudes and Teaching Practice*. European Scientific Journal, ESJ, 20 (28), 135.

<https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n28p135>

Abstract

Since its inception, experiential learning has been a foundational tenant of teacher education. However, it is questionable to what extent authentic experiential learning practices are actually taking place. Based on Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory Model, this paper presents an approach to addressing this issue. This exploratory manuscript further examines Kolb's Experiential Learning Cycle (ELC) and considers its implications for Experiential Learning Theory (ELT) in teacher education. A description of the teacher education program in the CRMEF (Centre Régional des Métiers de l'Éducation et de la Formation) is provided, followed by results from a quantitative and qualitative survey triangulated with teacher trainees' reflection journals to evaluate the training program in terms of the teacher trainees' developing confidence and competence in teaching. This paper focuses on exploring the implementation of this approach for teacher education in the CRMEF. Results indicate that a large majority of the participants developed confidence in teaching through this approach, subsequently changing their perceptions and attitudes toward teaching English. Specific learning experiences that contributed to their confidence and competence include in-person, experiential, and hands-on approaches to learning relevant skills and knowledge, confirming the importance of using Kolb's ELC as the foundation for teacher education programs. The study

recommends that teacher educators should enhance their programs by providing pre-service teachers with instruction in authentic experiential learning pedagogy. Therefore, a comprehensive model for teacher education is proposed to demonstrate the role of experiential learning.

Keywords: Experiential learning, teacher education model, Kolb's ELC, attitudes, teaching competence/confidence

Introduction

Experiential learning has been a foundational tenant of teacher education since its inception. However, the theory of experiential education has received limited attention in the permanent teacher education literature base. Moreover, it is questionable to what extent authentic experiential learning instructional practices are actually taking place. Based on Kolb's Experiential Learning Model, this paper presents an approach to addressing this issue. It further explores the tenets of experiential learning and considers the application of true experiential learning pedagogy in teacher education programs.

The study examines the theories that view experience as the key to meaningful learning and link learning to personal development. It also demonstrates ways in which experiential learning Theory (ELT) provides a valuable framework for strengthening the critical synergy that can and must be developed among education, work, and personal development (Kolb & Lewis, 1986).

With special attention to teacher education at the CRMEF and workplace learning through practice teaching in the classroom (the practicum), we provide examples of current applications and conclude by examining the benefits of experiential learning for promoting teacher trainees' skills and competencies. The present research, however, explores the implementation of this approach for teacher education in the CRMEF. Teacher trainees' self-reflections, observations, questionnaires, and interviews will assess the extent to which the process and outcomes have influenced their beliefs and equally changed their classroom practices.

This exploratory study further examines Kolb's experiential learning process and considers its implications for ELT in teacher education. A description of the teacher education program in the CRMEF is provided, followed by results from a quantitative and qualitative teacher trainee's survey, triangulated with their reflective journals to evaluate the training program in terms of teacher trainees' developing confidence and competence in teaching, based on their learned skills and knowledge.

The paper is organized into four sections. The background section provides context for the study and outlines the aims behind conducting it. The

methodology section presents the research questions and describes the research tools. The findings are then revealed in the following section. Finally, the discussion section addresses the findings and offers related recommendations.

Review of the Literature

What is/Why Experiential Learning?

The present paper seeks to discuss the specific role experiential learning plays in teacher education through the lens of Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory (ELT) (1984). As the name suggests, experiential learning relates to learning from experience or learning by doing. First, experiential education immerses learners into the experience and then encourages reflection about that experience to develop new skills, new attitudes, or new ways of thinking and, hence, plan for innovative practices. As an approach that is considered fundamental to meaningful learning, and for many reasons, experiential learning has moved from the periphery of education to the center.

First, there has been a move away from the behaviorist conception of learning, which emphasizes the teacher's role as the primary source of knowledge and positions the learner in a passive, recipient role. The shift towards current cognitive, humanistic, social, and constructivist learning models stresses the importance of meaning formation that is based on a cyclical interplay between the learner's previous experiences and their current and future learning. Second, in the last few decades, responsive teachers to the unprecedented influx of adult learners in higher education have been able to capitalize on their adult learners' prior experience to enhance their current and future learning. Third, in today's rapidly changing environment, educators are being held accountable for drawing upon their students' wealth of prior experience and background knowledge as a catalyst for new learning. Fourth, the challenge to assess the transfer of training to the job and, thus, reconsider the critical linkages between learning and employability requires the design of competency-based measures of learning and experiential techniques for assessing learner outcomes and evaluating their achievements. However, experiential approaches prove to be more effective in promoting communication skills, teamwork spirit, and workplace literacy as the main job-related skills traced in the labor market (Kolb & Lewis, 1986).

Experiential Learning Theory: Historical Background

In this section, we begin by tracing the origins of ELT which dates back to the experiential learning movement of the mid-nineteenth century. This movement represented an attempt in the United States to shift from formal, abstract, and teacher-centered education to experience-based approaches to education that were more learner-centered. During this period,

laboratory sciences, applied studies, and clinical experiences were introduced on college campuses. Early in the twentieth century, Jhon Dewey published *Experience and Education* (1983) highlighting the importance of learning by doing and demonstrating its applicability. Dewey's publication coincided with cooperative education which referred to various kinds of off-campus experiences and which was introduced as a complement to classroom instruction.

According to Dewey, experiential learning means a cycle of "trying" and "undergoing" that involves a series of steps, namely becoming aware of a problem, getting an idea, trying out a response, experiencing the consequences, and either confirming or modifying previous conceptions. This process has the potential to result in a person's cognitive reconstruction of experience and significant personal learning. Such ongoing meaning reconstruction over time culminates in learning to learn through practice. All this suggests that, for Dewey, creating new knowledge and transforming oneself through learning to perform new roles is more fundamental than simply learning how to do something.

In the realm of transformational learning theory, Freire (2000) stresses the role of education in promoting the critical consciousness of learners. In fact, for Freire, educating people provides more experiential interaction opportunities for learners and exposes them to the realities of their culture. Consequently, Freire conceives transformational learning as social change.

Other writers interested in adult learning also stressed on the pivotal role of experience. Mezirow (1981, 1991) adopted Habermas' conception of knowledge and demonstrated its role in promoting critical reflection. The different horizons through which people perceive themselves and the way they structure their lives and the world around them are influenced by all the cultural and psychological assumptions. Critical reflection has been an effective means to raise people's awareness as to the relevance of these assumptions. Mezirow (2000) believes in transformational learning and perceives it as a means to personal development.

From the foundation laid by each of the aforementioned theorists over the past fifty years for experiential learning, new experiential approaches continue to evolve, enabling us to respond and adapt to the dramatic changes that require more commitment and incorporation of innovative experiential approaches to ensure relevance and to respond to the needs of diverse groups.

Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory: Analysis and Synthesis

Many theorists and practitioners made a significant contribution and acceptance of experiential learning. This section seeks to discuss the specific role experiential learning plays in teacher's education through the lens of Kolb's ELT (1984). In meeting that purpose, this section outlines the

theoretical tenants of Kolb's ELT and examines publications within Kolb's comprehensive ELT bibliography (Kolb & Kolb, 2005a). This is with the aim of gleaning an in-depth theoretical framework of ELT that is relevant to teacher education. As such, an analysis of Kolb's ELT and a synthesis of those tenants in the context of teacher education follows.

In his ground-breaking book on ELT (Experiential Learning as the Source of Learning and Development 1984), Kolb perceives learning as the process by which knowledge is created through the transformation of experience. He defines experiential learning as a "*holistic integrative perspective on learning that combines experience, cognition and behavior.*" (p. 21)

As a way of linking theory to actual practice, Kolb's ELT model, also known as Experiential Learning Cycle (ELC), identifies four stages of grasping experience. These stages are:

Stage 1: Concrete Experience (CE): According to Akella (2010), the Concrete Experience stage represents the basis of the learning process. It is the stage where lessons are learned through "*adaptability and open-mindedness rather than a systematic approach to the situation or problem*" (p. 102) as the learner actively experiences an activity rather than passively observes or reads about it (Meiners, Schiller & Orchard, 2004).

Stage 2: Reflective Observation (RO): In this stage, learners reflect on their experiences by observing and examining them from a variety of perspectives and articulating the learning processes they went through, how they learned, and what they have learned. Reflection represents a vital part of learning, problem solving, and creativity that can be included in a teacher education course in the professions (Schon, 1995). Through reflection, the learner internally analyzes the learning experiences and then encourages his/her own personal meaning and understanding about these experiences (Liddell, Hubbard & Werner, 2000).

Stage 3: Abstract Conceptualization (AC): This stage involves the learner's use of logic and ideas as opposed to feelings to understand the situations and problems (Akella, 2010, p. 102). The reflective observations to which learners are exposed in the above stage of the ELC engage them in abstract conceptualization, thus, creating generalizations or principles that integrate their observations into theories. In other words, the learner uses their practice, observations, and reflections to create a theory or model to conceptualize their experience-based learning.

Stage 4: Active Experimentation (AE): Learners then use these generalizations as guides to engage in further action, called active experimentation, where they test the theory or the model they have developed in other more complex situations and put them into practice and/or plan for a forthcoming experience. Testing their learning allows them to "*make*

predictions about reality and then act on them” (Akella, 2010, p. 102). Through the ELC, Kolb theorizes that the learning cycle is transformed into a learning spiral of ever-increasing complexity since each learning experience leads to another set of concrete experiences and another round of learning at a more sophisticated and complex level. All this makes learning “*a continuous process grounded in experience*” (Akella, p. 41), thereby increasing in complexity throughout the process.

Kolb identifies two dialectically related modes of grasping experience or taking information (**Concrete Experience versus Abstract Conceptualization**) and two dialectically related modes of processing that information or transforming experience (**Reflective Observation versus Active Experimentation**). Kolb suggests that one’s learning style can be identified through a combination of preferred means of grasping and transforming experience information. He also believes that learning in this cycle can be entered at any point. However, he considers any one learning style to be an incomplete form of processing information. For meaningful learning that fully transforms one’s understanding to take place, all four stages of the cycle must be negotiated by the learner. According to Kolb, an experience that is not reflected upon represents unrealized learning.

In his ELT, Kolb (1984) also developed a Learning Style Inventory to assess the learning styles of the different learners so that the theory can create a better fit for each student. Each of the four learning styles (i.e., (a) Conceiving – Abstract Conceptualization and Active Experimentation, (b) Diverging – Concrete Experience and Reflective Observation, (c) Assimilating – Abstract Conceptualization and Reflective Observation, (d) Accommodating – Concrete Experience and Active Experimentation) match the different stages of the ELT as learners learn better if the content presented to them meets their preferred learning style.

Kolb’s Learning Styles and how they relate to ELT is not part of this paper. However, this paper examines how Kolb’s four stages of his Experiential Learning Cycle (ELC) has been used to respond to the challenges of pre-service teachers entering their teacher education programs with little confidence and competence in English language teaching. Yet, there is the need to be prepared to teach once they are in the real classroom context.

Teacher Education in the CRMEF: Background to Context

To assist in understanding the mechanisms necessary to support teacher trainees’ training institutions, as well as the lack of coordination between them, the teacher training system in Morocco has faced remarkable changes. This has had negative repercussions on the quality of the educational system and its ability to adapt to a rapidly changing society. The training was distributed according to the school education cycles across 34 Teacher

Training Centers (TTC), 13 Regional Educational Centers (REC), 7 Normal Superior Schools NSS, and 2 NSS technical education (Lahchimi, 2015; Ouasri, 2019).

As advocated in the National Charter for Education Training (Superior Counsel of Education and Training, 2000), the need to strengthen the linkage between the teacher's commitments and school reconstruction was based on three main strategies, namely teacher training quality, training institution affiliation to the university, and the need for professional development through in-service training. Meanwhile, the establishment of teachers training, as advocated in the National Charter for Education and Training (Superior Counsel of Education and training, 2000), has been delayed by about ten years. The different political and ideological trends have equally manipulated the Charter's agenda.

In Europe, and elsewhere, the training of teachers within the higher education system is organized by a faculty in an education department within a university. European countries, within the framework of the Bologna Declaration (1999), have undertaken to make comparable their university qualifications. Therefore, teacher training has undergone a movement towards the "universitarization/professionalization" of teacher training professions. What about Moroccan context?

As for the Emergency Plan (2009-2012), it adopted four measures: the definition of criteria and selection process, the establishment of University Education Courses (UEC), the creation of the CRMEFs by bringing together TTC and REC following the ministerial decree (2011), and the implementation of a continuing training strategy.

The professional training systems in the CRMEF as well as the initial university training programs as two entities are developing each other without being integrated into one identity. Within both training spaces, the provided activities, the methodological benchmarks, and the skills targeted for initial training and professional training have not contributed to complementarity between university and professionalization of teacher training. Thus, this denies any tendency towards the beginning of a rapprochement between the two entities.

As opposed to Europe, Morocco was involved in two parallel but diphasic movements of teacher training: professionalization (CRMEF) and universitarization (UEC). The dysfunction of coordination between the establishments concerned by the training poses serious challenges that are mainly related to the degree of complementarity existing between university and professionalization of teacher training.

Unfortunately, the lack of a fully-structured educational research in the CRMEF, as well as the absence of the LMD system, prevents CRMEF professor-researchers and teacher trainers from carrying out educational

research. Therefore, the professional knowledge present in these programs is characterized by the absence of effective contributions of professor-researchers. Actually, only the CRMEFs have professor researchers-trainers qualified to apply scientific and educational research to professional training, in order to build professional knowledge and identify the knowledge base that is essential for teacher training.

The decree creating the CRMEFs (February 2011) set a training engineering that delineated the duration of training for one year in the two spaces of the CRMEFs and schools, at the rate of 26 hours per week (at the CRMEF). Based on the skills and alternate approach according to the “practice-theory-practice” paradigm as well as the alternance “work-study-training”, as fundamental concepts in professional training, the training system was modular (40%) and alternated with practice (60%) conducted in schools. This structure allowed for the articulation between theoretical and practical knowledge as basic and essential concepts in teacher training.

In the Moroccan CRMEFs since 2017, qualifying training for teachers lasts two years, and it is divided into two main phases. The first phase last for seven months and takes place in two complementary spaces, the CRMEF and the school at a rate of 24 weeks per year at the CRMEF (1 week for the reception, 3 weeks for the first and second semesters’ exams, including the resit exam) and 6 hour per week in the school along the 20 weeks of training. The second phase is devoted to the following missions: total responsibility for the class, benefiting from field monitoring, face-to-face training (at the CRMEF) and online training, preparation of an interventional educational project (action research) under the supervision of a teacher trainer from the CRMEF, and preparation and discussion of the personal portfolio.

The CRMEF training system (pedagogical guidelines framework document) has several skills to be developed during teacher training by adopting the typology of Tardif and Borges (2009). Therefore, the training skills can be classified according to the following areas of knowledge that are presented as main and sub-modules within the CRMEFs:

- Educational Sciences (a 30-hours module): Educational Sciences Field (ESF)- Didactics (a 30-hours module).- The Planning of the Teaching and Learning Process (a 30-hours module).- TICE (a 30-hours module).- The Management of the Teaching and the Learning Process 1 and 2 (two modules of 60 hours).- The Evaluation of the Teaching and Learning Process (a 30-hours module).- Complementary training (four modules of 120 hours): Reference Discipline Fields (RDF), which includes the content of the knowledge to be taught.- Professional situations in a school environment represent 60% of the training duration, with the internship reports: Practical Training and Internships (PTI).

Beyond the areas defined by Tardif and Borges (2009), other skills are part of the training system adopted at the CRMEF:

- Educational Research spread over the research methodology (a 30-hours module) and the completion of an end-of-study project- Legislation and Ethics of the Profession (a 30-hours module)- School Life (a 30-hours module) - Practice Analysis and Activities (a 30-hours module)- Didactic Production (a 30-hours module).

Therefore, teacher trainees must develop skills related to consolidating their knowledge of concepts and notions, approaches and methods, as well as acquiring didactic and pedagogical knowledge in terms of planning, managing, implementing, and evaluating the teaching and learning process.

They are also required to develop skills related to undertaking research to identify and analyze teaching/learning deficiencies, correcting and managing the conduct of the class, and taking into account the diversity of students, authority, didactical /pedagogical relationship, and classroom management strategies.

Since training is based on a back and forth method, according to the reflective and dynamic paradigm of practice /theory / practice logic, the Practice Analysis and Activities and Didactic Production modules have been adopted in training since 2017-2018. The two modules take place within the framework of “workshop presentations”. Hence, these modules with internship reports (portfolio) aim to further consolidate the professionalizing dimensions of teacher training in the CRMEF. They formalize practice, and this can also be requisitioned in view of lived experiences. In this respect, the practice /theory / practice logic is rooted within the main principles underlying Experiential Learning Theory.

Therefore, the training system in the CRMEF is inspired by the professional training model which offers a vision of professional practices as an original and relatively autonomous space for learning and training for practitioners (Tardif et al., 1988). It is oriented towards training teaching professionals capable of building their identity and equally using their critical thinking and creativity to respond to different professional situations in an autonomous and responsible manner.

Methodology

The research is based on a mixed method approach, with questionnaires administered at the end of the training year at the CEMEF-Fez. The results from the survey are triangulated with the qualitative data compiled from the comments that the teacher trainees wrote in their reports, reflective journals, synthesis response papers, learning statements, and professional development projects. Other comments are extracted from the participants’

assignments and reflective papers, which are main components of their portfolios, after the first year of input focusing on teacher education that target all essential skills and competencies required for a successful teaching and learning process.

Aim

The main aim of the present study is to identify the pre-service teachers' level of confidence and teaching competence, as well as their attitudes towards the teaching of English language at the start and end of their training in the CRMEF. It also aims to investigate the range of the learning experiences offered to them to better understand the value and effectiveness of their training.

Research Questions

This paper addresses the following research questions, focusing more particularly on English language teaching.

1. Were the teacher trainees exposed to any learning experience throughout their training in the CRMEF?
2. Did the teacher trainees develop their confidence and sense of competence in teaching English language throughout their training in the CRMEF?
3. What learning experience affected their attitudes and perceptions as well as their sense of competence in their teaching practices?
4. To what extent is the range of learning experiences offered to them during their training at the CRMEF effective in developing their teaching skills?

Research Instruments

The questionnaires are developed significantly for the teacher training program to ascertain the pre-service teachers' perceived development in confidence and identify the learning experiences within the training program that have affected their sense of competence in teaching. They are comprised of 20 questions. Some questions with a 5-point Likert scale for responses are close-ended (e.g., at the start of this training program, how confident did you feel about teaching English? (Very confident, Confident; Not sure, Not confident; Scared stiff)). Other questions are open-ended (e.g., General comments about how you feel your sense of competence has developed in teaching English as a result of completing their teacher training program in the CRMEF).

The survey includes questions about how confident the participants felt about teaching English at the start and at the end of their training; which learning experiences helped them develop this confidence and change their

attitudes toward English language teaching; how effective were the integration of learning experiences and why; how they developed both their professional and personal skills for teaching; and any general comments about how they developed their sense of competence in teaching English language throughout their training in the CRMEF.

Research Participants

The participants of English language teacher trainees that enrolled in the teacher education program in the CRMFE-Fez were 112 during the training years 2020-2021 and 2021-2022. It was noted that 48% of the participants are females and 52% are males. Most of them live in the city of Fez including its suburbs. The other participants are originally from Meknes, Khenifra, Beni Mellal, Taza, Taounate, and Boulmane. They took their time to complete the entire survey including the open-ended questions. Since the interviews were conducted after the final assessment session of the training, only 25 participants living in the city of Fez accepted to participate. In-depth interviewing, as a qualitative research technique that involves conducting intensive individual interviews with a small number of respondents, was carried out. They provide much more detailed information than what is available through other data collection methods, such as surveys. They also provide a more relaxed atmosphere in which to collect information because people generally feel more comfortable having a conversation with you about their program as opposed to filling out a survey. As a result, the interviews were carried out to explore the participants' perspectives on the training program in the CRMEF. They include questions about their experiences and expectations related to the program, the thoughts they had concerning program operations, processes, and outcomes, and about any changes they perceived in their attitudes and teaching competence as a result of their involvement in the program. The interviews were conducted face to face to provide a better opportunity to read the body language of the respondents and match the responses. They represented purely a conversational method and provided opportunities to gather details from the informants.

The participants who took part in the research belong to different age group. The majority of the participants (89%) are in the 22-30 age groups, while 11% of them are more than 25 years. Among the respondent, only 8% have some experience in teaching (1 to 12 months), while the rest have no teaching experience prior to their training in the CRMEF.

Procedure

The questionnaires were administered in the final assessment sessions of the first years training in the CRMEF (2020-2021 and 2021-2022). The participants were asked to complete and return them by the end of the sessions.

Of the 112 participants, 100 completed and returned the questionnaires. Only 12 participants provided incomplete responses, perhaps due to lack of time or interest. However, majority of the participants took time to complete the entire questionnaires, including the open-ended questions. The teacher trainees' portfolios, which include their reflective journals, observation reports, synthesis response papers, learning statements and professional development projects, in addition to their assignments, were also used for collecting data about their attitudes and in developing confidence in the training program. The questionnaires had a tear-off sheet on which the participants put in their names and agreed or disagreed that their portfolios could be used as part of the research. All reflective portfolios were de-identified prior to results being collected and analyzed. The research data was collected from the questionnaires, which included both close and open-ended questions, in addition to the interviews as well as the participants' portfolios as reflective papers.

The quantitative data was analyzed to ascertain percentages of the participants responding to each question. The qualitative data from both the interviews and the reflective portfolios was collected and classified into themes in relation to participants' perceptions of their development of confidence in teaching English as well as their explanations for this development.

Results

The quantitative data from the questionnaires analyzed in terms of percentages of responses to the different questions is triangulated with the qualitative data collected from the teacher trainees' interviews and portfolios. The interviews and the reflective papers in the portfolio are analyzed in relation to common themes arising from the participants' responses and comments. The themes include how the teacher trainees' confidence developed during the training; how the theoretical as well as the practical sessions assisted in their understanding and building their sense of competence in teaching English; the value of the videos and classroom observations; peer-teaching and micro-teaching sessions; the problem solving situations and case studies; the training workshops, as well as the importance of experiential learning and their change in attitudes towards the teaching of English language in general and towards their teaching practices throughout the training in particular.

A considerable number of the participants reflected extensively on the different learning experiences that affected their attitudes and sense of competence in teaching English. Selected comments from the different themes are included in this paper along with the quantitative data.

Research Question 1: Did the teacher trainees change their attitudes and develop their sense of confidence in teaching throughout their training in the CRMEF?

When asked how confident they felt at the start of the training year about teaching English, 6% of the respondents report that they felt Very Confident, 9% felt Confident, 7% were Unsure, 58% were Not Confident, and 20% felt Scared Stiff. Overall, 78% of the participants did not feel confident to teach English at the start of their training in the CRMEF when compared with 15% who felt confident.

When asked the same question in relation to the end of the training year, only 8 of the participants indicate that they did not feel confident (1% Scared Stiff and 7 % Not Confident) compared with 92% who report that they felt confident to teach English (60% Confident and 32% Very Confident).

All this indicates a considerable increase in confidence between the start and end of the training year. The teacher trainees' comments in the questionnaires, the interview, and their reflective portfolios confirm this change of attitudes and increase in confidence. Thus, these include statements such as:

- “I entered the first week of training with a large amount of apprehension and dislike towards teaching English. As a student, I was led to believe that English was something difficult to be taught and I have been fearful of it ever since. That was, until the first time when I was given the opportunity to teach English and observe my colleagues as well as my host teacher in the practicum sessions I got a lot out of the lectures and the practical sessions which made me excited to teach English instead of being petrified of it I was initially very skeptical of the training program's effectiveness Nevertheless, after a few weeks of training, I became very enthusiastic about being a teacher who designs fun and engaging lessons scaffolded in a way that the students feel a sense of accomplishment in the lectures, tutorials and video viewing sessions, I now feel more confident that I have the required skills to implement an effective learner centered approach in the classroom My knowledge of the didactic and teaching strategies has increased from bare minimum to a level of competency I didn't expect after only a few weeks of classes training.”

Overall, the participants increased their confidence and competence in relation to English teaching after eight weeks of training activities and learning experiences. The next research question examines which of these learning experiences helped their competence in English teaching.

Research Question 2: What learning experiences affected the teacher trainees’ sense of competence in English teaching?

The participants were provided with a list of learning experiences they were involved in throughout their training. Therefore, they were asked which ones specifically affected their sense of competence in teaching English. Table 1 indicates the percentage of the participants selecting the different learning experiences as those that helped their sense of competence in teaching English. Most of them which ranked highest (peer-teaching and micro-teaching, delivering presentations, simulations and role-plays) dealt with problem solving situations, case studies, observation, and video-viewing sessions. Hence, they are related to the first stage of Kolb’s ELT, i.e., “Concrete Experience.”

Table 1. Learning Experiences affecting teacher trainees’ sense of competence in teaching English as related to Kolb’s Experiential Learning Theory

Teaching English as a Learning Experience		Percentage of Teacher Trainees selecting this Learning experience
Kolb’s ELT: Stage 1: Concrete Experience (CE)	Peer-teaching	12%
	Micro-teaching	14%
	Role-plays	10%
	Simulations	10.34%
	Teaching a sequence during the teaching practicums sessions	15.5%
	Teaching the whole lesson and taking the whole class responsibility during the practicum sessions	22.5%
	Participating in workshops	8.66%
Video viewing and observing	7%	
Kolb’s ELT: Stage 2: Reflective Observation (RO)	Reflective journals on practice teaching (peer/micro-teaching, practicums)	53.5%
	Reflective journals on lectures, video viewing and observing sessions	25.22%
	“My favourite Ten Items Collection” activity	21.28%
Kolb’s ELT: Stage 3: Abstract Conceptualization (AC)	Synthesis response paper	62.76%
	Learning statement	37.24%
Kolb’s ELT: Stage 4: Active Experimentation (AE)	Action plan and Personal Project	43%
	Professional development project	30.5%
	“Dear Future Me Letter” activity	26.5%

Kolb's ELT Stage 1: Concrete Experience

Practice Teaching, Micro-teaching, Peer-teaching and Practicum Sessions

As noted in Table 1 above, participating in practice teaching (38%) (teaching a sequence during the teaching practicum sessions; teaching the whole lesson and taking the whole class responsibility during the practicum sessions) and micro/peer teaching (28%) rate highest in relation to the set of concrete learning experiences that assisted in developing the participants' sense of competence in English language teaching. Sample comments of the participants about the value of these learning experiences include the following:

- “I believe that practice teaching through micro-teaching, peer-teaching, as well as the practicum sessions is very effective in modeling and inspiring ideas for teaching English language. Practice teaching makes me realize that it can actually be quite simple and that students can learn the concepts through engaging that put them in the center of the teaching and learning process.”
- “Initially teaching a sequence during the first practicum, then teaching the whole lesson and taking full class responsibility along the remaining practicum sessions allowed me to go through the different textbook units; I felt a little overwhelmed by the activities, pictures, texts, graphical representations, etc. Actually, I feel that I have learned a great amount about English language teaching and learning.”

Participating in Workshops: Simulations, Role-plays, Problem, Case Studies

Among the sampled participants, 8.66% note that participating in workshops (Kolb's Stage 1) affected their sense of competence in teaching English. Teacher trainees' comments to confirm this include:

- “Within the workshops, the trainers reinstated the need to do as the students will. We interacted with our trainers by answering and asking questions, repeating after our trainers (drills), sitting and standing up, rearranging our seats, passing to the board, creating some critical moments during the lesson (discipline issues, technical problems, interruptions of class, healthy/unhealthy noise, etc.). We were involved in the most exciting workshops we have ever attended. I cannot explain how refreshing it was to learn content in such engaging activities and varied techniques that shaped our positive attitudes towards learning and going through new experiences. The trainers were very informative and resourceful as they inspired us with important ideas and provided us with effective techniques and strategies that we could implement in our classroom.”

- “I thought teaching English language would be extremely difficult and challenging. The workshops helped us realize the importance of diversifying one’s teaching methods and strategies. Simulations, role-plays, dealing with problem situations and case studies as main activities in the workshops represented a fantastic opportunity to clear some of my worries when it came to teaching English.”

Video Viewing, Observation, and Lectures

In regards with Kolb’s Stage 1, 7% of the sampled teacher trainees indicate that viewing the videos prove to be important in assisting them to develop their competence in English language teaching. The videos were suggested and presented by the trainer and was put online so that the teacher trainees could see English language lessons being taught in a real-life situation – something many of them may have never seen. Their comments revealed that they appreciate being able to view these authentic teaching situations. In this respect, one participant states that:

- “It was inspiring to watch, so impressed that the students were engaged during these lessons as I had imagined that English language lessons involving fun and interactive activities (e.g. ice-breakers, warm-up activities, games, dialogues, role-plays, simulations, etc.) in any classroom would be noisy and chaotic. The videos were useful for demonstrating English lessons in action. They highlighted not only how to put English language lessons into practice, but also how to implement behavioral strategies in a way that would ensure a successful lesson. I found that the videos were a great aid in gaining a view of how to implement affective teaching and learning strategies into the classroom. By providing examples of different teaching styles, methods and techniques, it was easier to grasp how English was taught in the classroom and how students made use of different learning strategies”.

Although the quantitative results indicate that practice teaching was ranked first (84.34%), many teacher trainees comment in the reflective journals on how the combination of the lectures and the video viewing sessions helped to develop their confidence in teaching English.

One of the participants confirms:

- “Overall the lectures and videos provided a great foundational basis for me in being able to teach English in the classroom in a fun and interactive way, allowing students to express themselves in an environment where their creativity is nurtured and encouraged. I was very apprehensive about teaching English as I had no English teaching background; I was quite linguistically gifted but for me, teaching

English to students was a challenge; however, I am astounded on how much I did learn from attending the lectures and watching the suggested videos.”

Another participant added:

- “Before the practicum, I felt a bit uneasy knowing that I would have to teach English language when having my own students because my only relationship with English language was learning and using it for communication purposes. The lectures, and videos, inspired me and allowed me to feel more confident.”

Kolb’s ELT: Stage 2: Reflective Observation

In the second stage of reflective observation, the learner reflects on the new experience in light of their existing knowledge, with particular attention to identifying any inconsistencies between experience and understanding.

Over half of the sampled teacher trainees (53.5%) reported that reflecting on their practices and learning proves to be very helpful (reflective journals on practice teaching (peer/micro-teaching, practicums). Their learning experience is related to Kolb’s ELT Stage 2 (Reflective Observation). Subsequently, the participants were given the opportunity to provide their opinion on reflection as an effective technique of learning that was practiced through their “reflective journals” on practice teaching (Peer/micro-teaching, practicums), and through “my ten favorite items” activity (21.28%). This, however, were the first reflection assignments the participants were required to complete from the beginning of the training year. Moreover, majority of the participants stressed the importance of reflecting on their learning in the open-ended questions in the questionnaires (91%) and the interviews (93%).

- “90% of my learning was greatly influenced by teaching English and reflection on my teaching practices (learning by doing).”
- “I feel I have a natural understanding of the main strategies of language learning, which has been only achieved but the act of ‘doing’.”
- “Having attended the lectures, the training workshops and video-viewing sessions, I feel that I have learned a great amount about language learning and teaching. The videos I viewed allowed me to reflect on my own teaching/learning strategies and identify the amount of information absorbed from the lectures, the training workshops, as well as the micro/peer-teaching and practice teaching sessions.”
- “As I reflect on what I have experienced, read, viewed and listened to over the whole training year, I can say that we have been exposed to a variety of teaching and learning experiences and amalgam of reflective practices that have proven to be well structured, systematic, consistent, constructive, and at the same time, very simple, and engaging.”

Kolb's ELT: Stage 3: Abstract Conceptualization "Synthesis Response" Paper

Reflection gives rise to a new idea, or a modification of an existing abstract concept the person has learned from his/her experience (Abstract Conceptualization/Generalization). In this stage, the teacher trainees' learning involves using theories, logic and ideas, rather than feelings to understand problems or situations. Typically, they rely on systematic planning and develop theories and ideas to solve problems.

The "synthesis response" paper represents the main assignment in this stage. The teacher trainees were required to reflect on the themes or patterns raised in lectures, articles, presentations, group discussions, whole class debates, video-viewing and observation sessions, workshops, macro/micro peer-teaching, practicum sessions, problem-solving situations, case studies, etc. (62.76%).

Among the participants, 35.40 % claim that through the synthesis response paper, they learned how to make links between previous experience of teaching and learning and any theories or knowledge they can apply. Other respondents (27.36) report that this assignment stands as an effective strategy to reflect on what helps/hinders learning and teacher development. About this point, one participant comments,

- "We did not need to be comprehensive, but rather, we were required to use this space to add insights, build connections, or raise additional questions about "English Language Teaching and Learning", which has, undoubtedly, shaped our understanding of learning and boosted our teaching skills and competence."

However, only 6.36% of the participants revealed that the difference between the previous stage of reflection (Reflective Observation) and the Abstract Conceptualization stage using the synthesis response paper is not clear. They reported that they need more practice and examples to further understand the difference between the two concepts and get a deeper understanding of the two stages of reflection.

"Learning Statement" Paper

Through the learning statement, the teacher trainees were required to write an essay by exploring the following set of leading questions to write a synthesis of learning on the training course:

- What were your key learnings from the course (consider yourself, your teaching, learners, learning, etc.)? Why are these learnings important to you? How will you apply these learnings in your own classroom?

- What are the two areas you hope to improve or explore more in the future? What is your action plan to do this (remember SMART actions (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant and Time-bound))?

When asked about the effectiveness of this assignment as a means to conceptualize their learning throughout this stage within the ELC, 37.24% of the participants assert that it allows them to come up with generalizations and theories about their learning as well as students' learning that are linked to specific events or experiences in a lesson. The following comments are extracted from the participants' learning statement essays:

- “The course has endowed me with the ability to develop a flexible mindset and use all reflective skills for providing and receiving feedback from my colleagues and trainers throughout the ELC stages (describe, analyze, interpret, generalize, and plan action). It has allowed me to exchange my reflections with my colleagues on our teaching practices and base my action plans or further steps that could be explored through new experiences, hypotheses and experimentation. The reflection on my teaching practices proves to have a strong impact on my own perceptions and beliefs about teaching and learning in general”.
- “These reflections have enabled me to develop my reflection skills and made me keen on rethinking both the most successful and puzzling moments in my future lessons. All this will equip me with the necessary tools to critically analyze my teaching practices and improve my reflective as new avenues for any personal growth and professional development”.
- “The reflective practices that I experienced throughout the LC required me to be alert to every moment and every stage of the lesson. This suggests that in my future teaching, I will take into account the main objectives of my lesson (instructional objectives; SMART), the classroom environment, the types of students I will be teaching as well as the teaching approach, methods, strategies and techniques I will be adopting. Most importantly, students' output should be crystal clear in the Fluency stage (ECRIF framework) as well as during the production stages language skills (PPP/PDP) as a proof of effective teaching/learning.”
- “The training experience in the CRMEF has provided us with a time for planning and practice, an outlet for creativity, a space for reflection and debriefing and a home base to build community and support each other.”

Unfortunately, among the participants, 21% argue that writing the learning statement remains challenging as the line between the second stage (Reflective Observation) and the third stage (Abstract Conceptualization) of the ELC remains blurred. Therefore, they contend that they cannot readily identify the difference between the two stages.

Kolb's ELT: Stage 4: Active Experimentation

The newly created or modified concepts lead to experimentation. The learners apply their ideas to the world around them to see what happens. In this respect, the participants engaged in the fourth stage of the ELC using different strategies as demonstrated below.

"Professional Development Project"

This assignment is a plan for how the teacher trainees intend to use their experiences and learning from the training course to impact education in Morocco once the course is finished. They were required to submit the written portions of this assignment with their course portfolio and share some elements of it with their peers late in the final week of their training at the CRMEF.

Through the professional development project, the teacher trainees were to come up with realistic and specific ideas about things they thought they would be doing after the training course in the CRMEF. Therefore, the different and specific actions they planned to take were guided through the following questions:

- How can you share your learning in ways that will directly impact your student?
- What are you considering with your peers and colleagues about your learning?
- How can you share your learning with the authorities above?
- What barriers and obstacles do you think you may encounter?
- What elements of your training in the CRMEF do you think will be most useful to the people you come in contact with?
- Which elements do you think will be most challenging?
- What elements of the course might you choose not to share, or not share right away?

As regards professional development, 30.5% of the participants assert that it remains an effective technique to bridge the gap between their past/present experiences (the first, second and third stages of the ELC) and their future learning experiences (the fourth stage of the ELC). It allows them to plan specific actions for their future teaching practices based on their

teaching and learning experiences. In relation to this view are the following comments that represent the participants' attitudes and perceptions.

- "Given the importance of the program, I would assert that our mission as teachers is to share, transmit and cascade such a huge learning experience with our colleagues and implement this accumulated knowledge in our EFL classrooms."
- "Implementing our learning should not be limited to the classroom as a private space of the individual teacher, who will probably preserve it to his/her classroom, but it should transcend it to reach all people concerned with the field of teaching and learning."
- "As a novice teacher, I have a strong endeavor to share my learning at different levels, in different contexts, throughout different mediums and with different people. Therefore, I will deploy a variety of techniques and strategies to demonstrate, contextualize and make their learning meaningful. Blending theory with practice and learning by doing will be an effective way to help my colleagues learn about the ECRIF and PDP models in different occasions (workshops, demo-lessons, etc.) In this respect, I plan to introduce them to Kolb's outstanding reflection cycle (ELC) as soon as I leave the CRMEF training course. This will certainly enable them to reflect on their own teaching practices in a more structured and systematic way."
- "I believe that university students, especially the ones enrolled in the MASTER and PhD programs on education, teaching/learning, training pedagogy and communication are to be also targeted and introduced to all folds of our accumulated knowledge throughout the training course in the CRMEF."
- "This learning will be better shared when it is documented and when it conforms to the standards of the academic and scientific fields. This suggests that I will publish articles in different magazines, national and international journals that will enable me to share this experience and knowledge and reflect on it."
- "I would admit that, as novice teachers, we would be the right persons in the right position to cascade our accumulated knowledge throughout the CRMEF training course to the future generations of teachers and hand them the torch that will enlighten their ways towards the implementation of this learning."

Other respondents (8.5%) contend that though they have been exposed to different learning experiences throughout their training course, they will need more teaching practice that will qualify them to cope with a comprehensive professional development project to plan specific actions for their future teaching practices.

“Action Plan and Personal Project”, “Dear Future Me Letter”

In this stage, after reflecting on the practical and learning experiences in which they had been involved, the participants were asked to write an integrated plan for teaching English. They were required to complete different assignments, namely, “Action Plan”, “Personal Project”, “Dear Future Me Letter”, and “Action Plan” to test out the pedagogical theories to which they had been exposed to in the previous stages of the ELC and subsequently experiment with new ones.

“Action Plan and Personal Project”

Through the “Action Plan and Personal Project” assignment, the teacher trainees were asked to plan their SMART actions by thinking about everything they had experienced throughout the previous stages within the ELC. They were required to write an action plan for what they would do in future lessons or workshops (if required to facilitate one) using what had been learned from their training experience. For example, they would need to undertake SMART actions (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant and Time-bound) in the classroom, school, and environment to implement the Learner-Centered Teaching (LCT) approach and promote autonomous learning in their students.

It is worth mentioning that action research topics are proposed during the last weeks of training in the CRMEF; however, the teacher trainees are required to undertake their action research along the second year of their training. Hence, this provides more opportunity for them to identify some teaching/learning issues and intervene by using the necessary strategies to deal with them.

Of the sampled teacher trainees, 43% claim that writing an action plan and personal project affect their sense of competence in teaching English language. Throughout the second year of training, they will be implementing all what they have been exposed to within the training program, which will allow them to complete the fourth stage of ELC. In this stage, they will put what they have planned into practice and, thus, experiment with new experiences by undertaking action research and implementing their action plans and personal projects.

“Dear Future Me Letter”

Change takes time. Even if the teacher trainees have identified some areas to work on, they will not see a difference overnight. For this practical task, they were required to write letters to their ‘future self’.

Writing a letter to their ‘future self’ serves as a way of checking in and seeing how it is all going. It is also an exercise to ensure consistent personal growth, a way to hold themselves accountable to their perceptions, beliefs, and

values in regards “English Language Teaching and Learning’. Furthermore, it also serves as a means of preserving and protecting what is important today well into the future.

The following suggestions were provided for the teacher trainees to consider:

- Summarize your current self. Start with a reminder of where you currently are in your research journey within the field of ‘English Language Teaching and Learning’.
- Identify your key values and beliefs. Ask yourself what guides the current you.
- Note your skills in your learning (as a teacher trainee)/teaching practices (in case you teach or have a teaching experience) relating to ‘English Language Teaching and Learning’. Pick out a few skills or practices you can clearly identify.
- Define your goals and hopes. Write about things that are important to you now and what you would like to accomplish in the future for yourself in terms of your goal within the fields of “English Language Teaching and Learning”.
- Add things you want to stop, continue, and start doing.
- Give yourself advice. Think about what advice you want to give your future self. Your advice can be simple or complex.
- Ask yourself questions. These questions should make the future you reflect on. So, reflect on what you have done to reach the point you will be at and how you feel about it.
- You are writing this letter to yourself, so be casual. Write as though you are talking to your best friend. When talking about your current self, use ‘I’ language. When talking about your future self, use ‘You’ language. The letter is for you only and nobody else. Please write your letter in the language that works best for you. All we will do with the letter is to schedule it to be sent back to you. You will automatically receive your letter in six months’ time

As noticed above and reported by 26.5% of the participants, the casual aspect of the letter allows the teacher trainees to express themselves freely, define their hopes and goals, and draw their action plan. About this point, one respondent claims:

- “I believe it is the most effective strategy I have ever use for identifying my goals, displaying my hopes, drawing my action plan and providing advice to my ‘future self’. It helps me understand the difference as well as the relation existing between the two stages of “Abstract Conceptualization” and “Active “Experimentation””.

General Comments and Changing Attitudes towards Experiential Learning throughout the Pre-service Training

The different reflection tools and reflective teaching techniques to which the participants were introduced, and which are in line with Kolb's ELC model, are summarized in the following chart that represents the main components of the Teacher Training Portfolio.

Experiential Learning: Changing Attitudes and General Comments

In addition to the quantitative results presented above, the participants commented in their portfolios about other aspects of their pre-service training that helped develop their confidence and competence in teaching. Below are some selected comments about the importance of the use of experiential learning and practical learning experiences.

Experiential Learning

- "I believe that 90% of my learning has been greatly influenced by teaching English language and reflecting on my teaching practices. I feel I have a natural understanding of the teaching and learning strategies, which has been only achieved by the act of 'doing'. The way that the training workshops, the micro/peer-teaching and practice sessions are run in terms of actually being in hands on experience allows me to gain a deeper knowledge of all the strategies involved in the teaching and training proves and how to implement them in the classroom through different managerial techniques."
- "I find this concrete way of learning very stimulating as it engages the whole class to realize and experience how innate language learning predispositions and teaching competence are within every person. Due to the practical input in the lectures, training workshops, peer/micro/practice teaching and video viewing sessions, I am now enthusiastic to teach English on a daily basis in my classroom. I will also ensure that the lessons always have practical, engaging and fun activities imbedded within them to encourage involvement and ensure that my student enjoy themselves."

Changing Attitudes and Perceptions

- "I have overcome the apprehension that I once had towards this area of teaching English language teaching and I have adopted a positive attitude, which I hope that I can transmit to my class of more than students in the near future Throughout the lectures and training workshops, we have been introduced to a variety of teaching methods and strategies. We have been also equipped with different reflective

techniques that go beyond our imagination and transcend our expectations.”

- “I was engaged throughout the entire training workshops and practice training sessions I learned that, as a future teacher, it is important to be daring to explore with English language teaching and learning Going out of the box and taking the risk is the key element in this respect, I want to be a positive and enthusiastic teacher who uses effective communication strategies and various teaching techniques to engage students so that they can appreciate the importance of English language learning for communicative purposes and for personal development Any pessimistic attitudes I had before disappeared for I have realized how exciting teaching English language can be.”

All the above comments revealed how the participants value the experiential and practical approach adopted in the pre-service training program. In addition, they equally indicate the extent to which this experiential learning has changed their attitudes in relation to English language teaching.

Discussion

The overall results from the questionnaires, the interview, as well as the reflective journals indicate that the majority of the teacher trainees started the training with very little confidence in English teaching. After the training received throughout the different modules along the two semesters of their first year of training in the CRMEF, the majority of the participants have developed their knowledge, skills, and understanding of English language teaching and learning, changed their attitudes, and they have equally increased their confidence in relation to teaching English. This was not only evident in the quantitative data, but it was also confirmed through their comments about each specific aspect of their experiential learning during their training in the CRMEF.

The results indicate that 78% of the participants were not confident to teach English at the start of the first semester, compared with 92% of the participants admitting they were confident to teach it at the end of their first training in the CRMEF. Confirming this are their responses and attitudes when asked if they are ready to teach English after their training. Thus, 89.5% of the teacher trainees revealed that they are well equipped with the necessary techniques and strategies that will help them to teach English. This correlated with what has been advanced in the literature asserting that if learners are confident in the subject, then they are more likely to teach it (Lazer, 2007; Russell-Bowie, Roche & Marsh, 1995; Welch, 1995).

Through developing their confidence in teaching English, both the quantitative and qualitative results indicate that the participants' attitudes to

English teaching have changed significantly over the whole training year. As suggested in the literature, both experience and the opportunity for reflection are significant factors in changing learners' attitudes (Bondy, Schmitz & Jhonson, 1993; Groulx, 2001; Deering & Starnutz, 1995). In fact, the participants were involved in a variety of learning experiences and reflective practices. Therefore, these seem to be significant factors in changing their attitudes and shaping their perceptions.

The two aspects of experience and reflection are also important stages in Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory (1984). The first stage (Concrete Experience) proves to be the most important element of the teacher trainees' experience leading to developing their confidence and competence in teaching English language. As seen in the table, the participants rank the face-to-face and experiential learning highest in developing their sense of competence in teaching English. These include participating in lectures and training workshops, role-plays, simulations, peer-teaching, micro-teaching, teaching a sequence during the teaching practicum sessions, as well as teaching the whole lesson and taking the whole class responsibility during the practicum sessions.

The second stage (Reflective Observation) is more important to more than half of the participants (63.5%) in developing their sense of competence to teach English. At the end of their first year of training in the CRMEF, they were required to think about what they had experienced, what and how they had learned during that year. The participants' comments reflect their excitement about what they have learned, how their skills and knowledge have developed, and how their attitudes have changed. As confirmed in the literature, reflection proves to be an effective tool to facilitate the learning process and increase learners' knowledge and skills (Conrad & Hedin, 1990; Hatcher & Bringle, 1997; Liddell, Hubbard & Werner, 2000). Thus, this study proves to be an important factor in building teacher trainees' confidence and changing attitudes towards English language teaching.

The third stage of Kolb's ELC theory is that of "Abstract Conceptualization". During the training year, the participants were required to think about how the English language pedagogy and skills learned in the CRMEF and in the school practicum, in addition to the online resources, could shape their perceptions of teaching and learning and their understanding of professional development. They were also asked to write a synthesis paper about all strategies, techniques, and challenges involved within the teaching and learning process. Ultimately, throughout this stage, the participants were required to draw general conclusions about teaching and learning in their personal statement.

Given the abstract nature of this stage which is difficult to be approached through quantitative research, the questions in the questionnaires did not specifically cover this stage. The main questions related to abstract

conceptualization stage were left to the interviews conducted with the participants.

Abstract Conceptualization of the methods and strategies required for English techniques and learning leads to Kolb's fourth stage, which is that of "Active Experimentation". In this stage, after reflection on their practical experience and, thus, drawing their general conclusions about teaching and learning, the participants were asked to write an integrated plan for teaching English through different assignments, namely, "Action Plan and Personal Project", "Dear Future Me Letter", and "Action Research" to test out the pedagogical theories and practices to which they had been exposed in the previous stages and subsequently experiment with new ones.

Among the sampled teacher trainees, 43% report that writing an action plan and personal project has improved their sense of competence in teaching English language. Throughout the second year of training, and as part of the fourth stage of ELC, they will be implementing their action plans. In fact, undertaking action research and implementing action plans and personal projects will allow the teacher trainees to put what they have planned into action and, thus, experiment with new teaching methods and strategies. All this suggests that since each learning experience leads to another set of concrete experiences and another round of learning at a more sophisticated and complex level, the learning cycle is transformed into a learning spiral of ever-increasing complexity throughout the process.

Conclusion and Implications of the Study

Both the quantitative and qualitative results from this survey triangulated to confirm that experiential learning, as well as the reflective nature of the training program in the CRMEF, have been effective in developing the participants' competence in relation to English language teaching and, thus, changing their perceptions and attitudes towards the teaching of English language. These results can be used by education experts and practitioners and all actors involved within the field of curriculum to design pre-service teacher training programs, taking into account the teacher trainees' attitudes, needs, as well as their degree of confidence and background experience in English language teaching.

In this respect, diagnostic evaluation can serve as an effective tool to identify teacher trainees' needs, attitudes and perceptions, and gauge their predisposition to promote their teaching skills and competence and, thus, boost their self-confidence. The training program should include a variety of experiential learning activities (Kolb's Stage 1: Concrete Experience) along with the opportunity for the teacher trainees to reflect on their learning (Stage 2: Reflective Observation). Moreover, teacher trainees should be challenged to relate their observation, experiences, and reflections to generalize new

concepts and relevant ideas about classroom and English language pedagogies (Stage 3: Abstract Conceptualization). Ultimately, they should have the opportunity to test their generalizations in relation to an authentic classroom situation by designing action plans and professional projects, and undertaking action research. The main objective in this respect is to experiment with their newly general perceptions about teaching (Stage 4: Active Experimentation).

In the current climate of basic skills testing where the soft skills are de-prioritized, providing teacher trainees with adequate training that highlights the importance of both experience and reflection alike has become significantly important. Moreover, inspiring them to use their analytical and critical thinking, equipping them with the necessary skills to teach creatively, changing their attitudes, and developing their competence through experiential learning is vital for the future of teaching languages to the third millennium student.

Conflict of Interest: The author reported no conflict of interest.

Data Availability: All data are included in the content of the paper.

Funding Statement: The author did not obtain any funding for this research.

Declaration for Human Participants: This research followed the Ministry of National Education, Preschool and Sports and the Ministry of Higher Education, Scientific Research and Innovation (Morocco) and their guidelines for research ethics. It complied with the ethical principles concerning studies involving human subjects. The research has been approved by the Regional Center for Education and Training Professions (CRMEF-FEZ), Morocco.

References:

1. Akella, D. (2010). Learning together: Kolb's experiential theory and its application. *Journal of Management and Organization*, vol. 16, issue 1, 100-112.
2. Bondy, E., Schmitz, S., & Jhonson, M. (1993). The Impact of coursework and fieldwork on student teachers' reported beliefs about teaching poor and minority students. *Action in Teacher Education*, 15(2), 55-62.
3. Conrad, D., & Hedin, D. (1990). Learning from service. In J.C. Kendall and associates (Eds.), *Combining service and learning: A Resource book for community and public service*. Vol1. Raleigh, N.C: National Society for internships and experimental education.

4. Conway, C. (2002). Perceptions of beginning teachers, their mentors and administrators regarding pre-service music teacher preparation. *Journal of research in music education*, 50(1), 20-36.
5. Deering, T. E. & Stanutz, A. (1995). Preservice field experience as a multicultural component of a teacher education program, *Journal of Teacher Education*, 46 (5), 390-394.
6. Dewey, J. (1938). *Experience and education*. New York, NY: Kappa Delta Pi. ISBN 978-0-684-83828-1
7. Freire, P. (September 2000). *Pedagogy of the oppressed* (30th anniversary ed.). New York: Bloomsbury. p. 16. ISBN 9780826412768. OCLC 43929806.
8. Groulx, J.G. (2001). Changing preservice teacher perceptions of minority schools. *Urban Education*, 36(1), 60-92. Kolb, A., & Kolb, D. A. (2005a). *Experiential learning Theory Bibliography*. Experience Based Learning Systems, Inc. Cleveland, OH. Retrieved from www.learningfromexperience.com.
9. Hatcher, J. A., & Bringle, R.G. (1997). Reflection: Bridging the gap between service and learning. *College Teaching*, 45(4), 153-158.
10. ITQANE Project (2009-2012). Improving training for quality advancement in national education. Retrieved from [http://www.projetitqane.ma/E-learning platformhttp://itqane.aui.ma/](http://www.projetitqane.ma/E-learning-platformhttp://itqane.aui.ma/)
11. Kolb, A. & Kolb, D. A. (2005a). *Experiential Learning Theory bibliography*. Experience Based Learning Systems, Inc. Cleveland, OH. Retrieved from www.learningfromexperience.com
12. Kolb, D.A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall
13. Lahchimi, M. (2015). La reforme de la formation des enseignants au Maroc. *Revue internationale d'éducation de Sèvres*. Retrieved from: <https://doi.org/10.4000/ries.4402>
14. Lazer, A.M. (2007). It's not just about teaching kids to read: Helping preservice teachers acquire a mindset for teaching children in urban communities. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 39(4), 411-443.
15. Le Chef du gouvernement, la Loi-Cadre N°51.17 relative au système d'éducation, de formation et de recherche scientifique, *Journal officiel*, n° 6944, 17 décembre 2020.
16. Liddell, D. L., Hubbard, S., & Werner, R. (2000). Developing institutions that focus on learning. In D. Liddell & J. Lund (Eds.), *New directions for student services*. Powerful programming for student learning: Approaches that make a difference. No. 90, p. 21-33. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

17. Meiners, J., Schiller, W., & Orchard, J. (2004). Children and the arts: Developing educational partnerships between preschool, school and tertiary sectors. *Journal of In-service Education* (30), 3, 463-476.
18. Mezirow, J. (September 01, 1981). A Critical theory of adult learning and education. *Adult education quarterly*. 32 (1): 3–24. doi:10.1177/074171368103200101. ISSN 0741-7136. S2CID 145279628.
19. Mezirow, J. (1991). *Transformative dimensions of adult learning*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
20. Mezirow, J. (2000). *Learning as transformation: Critical perspectives on a theory in progress*. San Francisco: Jossey Bass.
21. Ministère de l'Éducation Nationale (1991). « Charte Nationale de l'Éducation et de la formation. Espace IV : Ressources humaines », *Mesure* 136, pp. 55-56.
22. Ministère de l'Éducation Nationale, de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche Scientifique (2009). *Plan d'urgence de la réforme du système éducatif marocain*. Rabat, MA: MENESRS.
23. Ministère de L'éducation Nationale (2011). *Document cadre du nouveau dispositif de formation aux CRMEF*. Rabat, MA: MEN.
24. Ouasri, A. (2019). *La Formation des enseignants des sciences au Maroc : Historique, état des lieux et perspectives*. *Educational Journal of the University of Patras UNESCO Chair*. Retrieved from: <https://doi.org/10.26220/une.2947>
25. Schön, D.A. (1995). Knowing-in-action: The New scholarship requires a new epistemology. *change*, 27(6), 27-34. Retrieved from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00091383.1995.10544673>
26. Tardif, M., & Borges, C. (2009). L'internalisation de la professionnalisation de la formation à l'enseignement secondaire et ses retraductions dans des formes sociales nationales. In R. Hofstetter B. Schneuwly (Dirs.), *Savoirs en (trans)formation. Au cœur des professions de l'enseignement et de la formation* (p. 109-136). Bruxelles, BE : De Boeck.
27. Tardif, M., Lessard, C., & Gauthier, C., (1998). Introduction. In M. Tardif, C. Lessard & C. Gauthier (Dirs.), *Formation des maîtres et contextes sociaux* (p. 7-70). Paris, FR : Les Presses Universitaires de France.
28. Welch, W. (1995). The Efficacy of primary teachers in art education. *Issues in Educational Research*, 5(1), 71-84.