

# TEACHING SUBJECTS MATTER THROUGH ENGLISH AS THE MEDIUM OF INSTRUCTION IN THE NAMIBIAN ENGLISH SECOND LANGUAGE CLASSROOMS

*Liswani Simasiku*

Language Centre, University of Namibia

*Choshi Kasanda*

Faculty of Education, University of Namibia

*Talita Smit*

Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, University of Namibia

---

## Abstract

Many Namibians have indicated that both teachers and learners were not conversant enough to teach and learn schools subjects through the English medium. Furthermore, they have attributed learners' poor performance in examinations to the use of English as the medium. Nonetheless, English has remained as the official medium of instruction in Namibian schools. This study sought to find out why the Namibian government had stuck to the use of English as medium of instruction in Namibian classrooms in view of the concerns raised by the teachers, learners and other stakeholders. The sample comprised 12 teachers at 12 schools in the Caprivi Educational Region. The results showed that English Second Language (ESL) teachers were of the view that the use of English as medium of instruction affected learners' participation in English lesson and that it impacted negatively on learners' end of year results.

---

**Keywords:** Code switching, language acquisition, literacy, biliteracy, English Second Language, teachers and learners

## Introduction

Namibia had been linguistically and politically isolated before independence. The new Namibian government deemed it necessary to introduce English as an official medium of instruction since it is the language of wider communication and that will unite the Namibian people. According to Murray (2007) in the NAWA (2007), she quotes the then Prime Minister, Hage Geingob:

“When SWAPO decided during its struggle for independence to make English the official Language of Namibia, and when the framers of the Constitution decided to choose English as the Official Language, it was not an ad hoc decision. It was a considered decision ...”.

However, this firm decision had strings attached. Indeed, the linguistic and political isolation had to be redressed. However, during the redress process educational problems emerged. As Harlech-Jones (1998, p. 6) argues, “... the problem, by no means not unique to Namibia, is the difficulty for teachers to develop lessons based on communication and interaction when they themselves lack fluency in English.”

According to Roy-Campbell (1995), the paradox here is that before African Independence, although in most cases the colonizers' languages served as the languages of power, there were cases where some of the local languages were appropriated by the colonialists to assist them in their crusade to 'civilize' Africans. A typical example of this

was the use of local languages in the conversion of colonized people to Christianity. Roy-Campbell (1995) observes that converting Africans to Christianity was a key strategy in the subjugation of Africans without overt physical coercion. Therefore, the use of native languages in this crusade was deemed important because it was easier for Africans to identify themselves with the new religion as their languages were used as a medium of learning and they could also communicate without the Code Switching strategy.

It must be noted here that language is at the heart of school learning, and if learners cannot use it properly for the purpose of learning, it becomes a barrier to both learning and thinking, rather than a channel for thinking. In addition, Phillipson (1992) argues that the continued use of an imposed language as the medium of instruction is linguistic imperialism, a form of cultural imperialism. He maintains that when learners are taught in a foreign language as a medium of instruction, it downgrades their own language and culture and concludes that a language which is not used for education is undervalued.

This thought can be translated to mean that if schools and schooling are to be meaningful to learners, learners should be taught in a language or languages which they can relate to. Policy makers and educators should be aware that one's language is paramount in the learning and teaching process. Therefore, choosing a specific language as a medium of instruction is something that must be given very serious consideration.

Jansen (1995) states that the introduction of English in Namibian schools as the sole medium of instruction from Grades 4 to 12 has been blamed for the poor performance of learners in examinations in all subjects. This seems to have legitimized the outcry that the high failure rate of learners in school subjects in Namibia is due to the use of English as the sole medium of instruction after Grade 4. Nonetheless, no empirical research has been conducted.

### **The political agenda v/s pedagogical concerns**

Haacke (1996) argues that in most cases a country's official language policy can become an important political instrument for social engineering, be it to pacify minorities and avoid language conflict, to secure the predominant role of the language of the ruling elite, to neutralize or eliminate certain languages, or to unite or divide people within a country. He contends that a language policy and its formulation are more of a political matter than a linguistic issue (Haacke, 1996).

The South West Africa People Organisation (SWAPO) government had an agenda for an independent Namibia; its election into power meant the implementation of that agenda. On this agenda was the language policy, which was articulated in SWAPO's election manifesto of 1989. To appease its electorate, SWAPO had to implement its election manifesto, including the implementation of a new language policy (Töttemeyer, 1978, cited in Donaldson, 2000). The Afrikaans language used to be lingua franca in most Namibian schools before independence had to be replaced with English, no matter how imperfectly it was used by both teachers and learners and possible use of Code Switching as a way of survival by those who cannot manage to communicate solely in the English language. To consolidate its political agenda formulated and concretised in exile, ten years later at the Etosha Conference in 1999, the then Minister of Education, Honourable Nahas Angula, reiterated that "the isolationist position has deprived the country of meaningful interaction with the outside world ... the isolation has been further reinforced by communication problems and that Afrikaans, which was widely used for business and government, is not an international language" (MBESC, 1999, p. 10).

As can be seen from the then minister's statement, for SWAPO, the linguistic and communication isolation of Namibia from the rest of the world topped its political agenda. Therefore, the introduction of English as the sole medium of instruction in schools over-

shadowed any negative repercussions it would bring educationally such as learners and teachers Code Switching and code mixing in class.

In 1999, the English Language Teacher Development Project (ELTDP), in collaboration with the Ministry of Basic Education, Sport and Culture (MBESC, 2000), conducted a national survey on the English Language proficiency of Namibian teachers across the different phases of education. The results of the study revealed that the use of English for several teaching purposes, particularly explaining concepts was disappointing. It also revealed that, "... teachers in the other phases often use English beyond the textbook and this leads to a higher number of errors" (ELTDP, 2000, p. 31). ELTDP attributed these findings to the fact that the lower primary school teachers were at liberty to switch to the mother tongue as it was the medium through which they taught (ELTDP, 2000).

Furthermore, Wolfaardt (2004) states that in national examinations, Namibian learners scored differently in their first languages and in the content subjects where learners are taught through the medium of English (see Table 1). She cites the MBESC Report of 2002 in which Namibian teachers stated that the English Language was a stumbling block for learners when answering the questions in examinations. Similarly, the teachers wondered whether learners had really not mastered the work or did not understand what the examination questions required of them (Wolfaardt, 2004).

Wolfaardt (2004, p. 370) quotes the following comment from MBEC (2002) Circular: DNEA 14/ 2000: *JSC Examination 2002: Examiners' Reports*, which seems to reflect the views of Namibian teachers with regard to the History examinations, "... A large total of learners did, however, have problems with English which brought about that they could not express themselves properly and could not understand what was required of them". Jansen (1995) maintains that since the introduction of English as a medium of instruction in Namibian schools, there has been an outcry and assertions that the high failure rate was due to the new medium of instruction.

Code Switching can be seen as bridging the communication barrier of the learners and that the basis of learning and development is language and communication. It must be understood that teaching and learning experiences are built on the basis of language alternations, with the fundamental idea that the alternate use of languages reinforces awareness of the free, non-fixed relationship between objects and their labels and the necessary ability to separate words and concepts (Moore, 2002). Teaching and learning are based on language alternations; it is for this reason that Code Switching is being advocated in classrooms that use a second language as medium of instruction. The issue of Code Switching is at the heart of education reform and is being debated in most sectors of education the world over. The MEC (1992) states:

In these transitional conditions, while the stated language policy will not change, the use of language understood by the majority of learners in a class can be permitted temporarily. Indeed, even where resources are satisfactory, experience in other countries has shown that the use of such local languages from time to time may help with the understanding of difficult concepts... (p. 10).

Even though the Namibian government has recognized the use of local languages in English medium classrooms to help learners to understand difficult concepts and terms, the idea of using the local language to bridge communication barriers has not taken root. Researchers such as Fantini (1985), Geneshi (1981) and Huerta (1980) focused on the role of Code Switching in young bilingual Spanish children and found that Code Switching should not be seen as a handicap, but rather as an opportunity for children's language development.

As a matter of fact, McClure and Wentz (1975) and Poplack (1981) focused their research on the social functions of Code Switching. The social function of Code Switching was found to be good for negotiations between participants about the nature and the form of

the interaction, which, in most cases, are explicitly revealed by conversation cues, social roles and norms, setting, topic of discussion and perceived status of the interactants.

For this reason, Huerta (1978) focused his research on the patterns of Code Switching in the home among adults while Zentella (1978) focused on third Grade children at play. It was found that children code switched in both oral discourse and written form in order to communicate in an effective way and that parents' Code Switching could be used as a stimulus for further development of children's home language in the home context. In other words, Code Switching seems to have played an important function in the different circumstances mentioned above classrooms.

In exploring Code Switching in the classrooms, Anguire (1988), Hudelson (1983) and Olmedo-Williams (1983) found Code Switching to be an effective teaching and communicative technique which can be used among bilingual learners. Their studies found that Code Switching in the classroom was used for, amongst others,

- regulatory purposes (to control group behaviour);
- emphasis (to stress a message);
- attention attraction;
- lexicalization (lexical need, cultural association, or frequency of use in one language or the other);
- clarification;
- instructional (to teach second language vocabulary);
- sociolinguistic play (for humour, teasing, punning);
- Addressee specification (to accommodate the linguistic need or choice of the addressee or to exclude individuals from the interaction) and others.

Huerta-Macias and Quintero (1992) focused their study on the social context that combined school and families and valued language switching as part of the whole language approach to the acquisition of literacy and biliteracy. Their study on Code Switching analysed its effectiveness on teaching, learning and communication strategies in the classroom; the context included not only children and instructors but also parents. They found that language switching aided the acquisition of literacy and biliteracy.

Also, Wolfaardt (2001) advocates bilingual language programmes as alternatives to the current language policy in Namibia which promotes the use of English as the only medium of instruction to second language speakers of English. Wolfaardt suggests that bilingual education in Namibian schools should be determined by social, historical, ideological and psychological factors that interact with one another. She further suggests that bilingual education could benefit learners and improve their academic achievement. To further consolidate the advance for bilingual education Moore (2002 says:

With two lexical forms in the bilingual repertoires, the learners can activate two images, corresponding to two types of knowledge. These images can be superimposed or not. Each of them adds new insights and focuses on a particular characteristic and contributes to building a more complete and nuanced vision. A dual repertoire helps the students elaborate knowledge from different levels of comprehension and information. They can relate new linguistic and conceptual materials to what they already know, and recognize its limitations when presented additional or differential meaning in a different language (p. 89).

According to Moore (2002), when a learner is exposed to bilingual instructions, he or she gains an insight into things from a dual perspective as this enriches his or her understanding of the world from a two-dimensional view. What he or she already knows from another language can now be translated into a new language which he or she is learning. Therefore, the introduction of Code Switching in the classroom would accord learners the dual perspective, drawing from their mother tongue experiences to enrich their understanding

of the new language. When Code Switching is used in English medium classrooms, it helps learners relate to what they already know and fits together new ideas to old ones.

*It must be understood that one of the major functions of language in the classroom is its use for learning, for fitting together new ideas with old ones, which is done to bring about new understanding. Additionally, Bennett and Dunne (2002) maintain that these functions suggest active use of language by learners, as opposed to passive reception. They further indicate that learners' performance could be substantially improved if they were given regular opportunities in the classroom to use their mother tongue over a range of purposes in a relaxed atmosphere (Bennett and Dunne, 2002).*

According to Jones, (as cited by Wolfaardt, 2005) many Namibian learners fail to attain the minimum language proficiency in English before the introduction of linguistically and cognitively more demanding English medium subjects in Grade 4. It is likely that they acquire basic proficiency in English only when they enter the junior secondary phase of school, at which time they should really be functioning at an intermediate level, but they do not seem to do so; hence the need for teachers to Code Switching in the teaching of school subject in Namibia to enhance their grasp of the subject content.

As a result of problems beginning in the primary school, learners continue to lag behind their required level of English language proficiency, and the majority never really reach the language proficiency in English which their age and school level demand (Jones, as cited in Wolfaardt, 2005). Equally important, it is argued, that Namibian learners' cognitive academic language proficiency in their first language is also not highly developed, thus creating a problem in developing their Cognitive Academic Language Proficiency in the second language, English, which is the medium of instruction (Ministry of Basic Education, Sport and Culture, 2003).

To bridge this gap, Code Switching could be a way of solving the problem of classroom communication. Harlech-Jones (1998) maintains that research has shown that strong and well-balanced bilingualism, if maintained properly, has very definite academic advantages for learners.

## **Methodology**

Prior to the actual study, the questionnaire was piloted; colleagues approved the reliability of the instrument. The population of this study consisted of Grade 10 English Second Language (ESL) teachers in the Caprivi Education Region now Zambezi Educational Region. All teachers in the Caprivi Education Region that taught Grade 10 ESL classes formed the population of this study. Purposeful sampling also called criterion sampling was used to select 12 ESL teachers.

## **Instruments**

Two research instruments were used to collect data in this study. These were questionnaires and observation checklists. The questionnaire focused on the perceptions of teachers on learners' participation and end of year results in classrooms where English is the only medium of instruction, while the observation checklist also assessed levels of participation in classrooms where teachers used English only. Content analysis was used to group responses from interviews and the observation checklist to determine the meaning of the participants' views and practices towards the use of English as medium of instruction.

## **Results**

English is a second or third language in the Caprivi now Zambezi Educational Region. Therefore, the researchers wanted to find out from the Grade 10 ESL teachers in the Caprivi now Zambezi Education Region whether the use of English as the only medium of instruction

in their schools had an effect on the English Second Language teaching. Table 1 provides the distribution of percentages of the six (6) content subjects (2007-2010).

Table 1: The distribution of percentages of the six (6) content subjects (2007-2010)

Year	2007						2008						2009						2010						
	A	LF	M	G	H	MB	A	LF	M	G	H	BM	A	LF	M	G	H	E	A	LF	M	G	H	E	Av
1	N/A	43.3	31.4	48.4	43.5	54.4	N/A	48.2	43.3	48.7	52.5	61.5	N/A	47.3	44.5	46.7	52.1	72.0	N/A	50.0	32.8	44.8	55.5	42.8	48.2
2	43.6	32	20.6	46.3	47.8	52.4	49	36.2	34.5	54.2	47.5	52.3	45	38.6	35.9	40.2	52.8	59.8	48.4	37.1	32.4	41.9	57.1	54.4	44.2
3	40	48.3	16.3	38.9	35.7	45.2	43.9	39.1	32.5	45.4	42	39.2	41.8	35	24.6	37.5	51.2	38.5	51	46	31.3	49.1	52.6	41.8	40.3
4	56.3	49.7	26.1	56.8	58.8	43.1	48.9	41.3	21.1	49	47.7	38.5	55.8	43.4	33.1	34.7	47.2	36.9	61	40.6	24.8	41.4	48.6	47.9	43.9
5	39.7	41.2	22.1	39.2	40.9	43.3	45.7	37.2	26.8	41.2	43.9	35.8	39.4	34.1	25.6	33.7	40.7	44.9	47.8	46.1	28.4	47.6	52.9	46.3	39.4
6	53.3	52.6	29.1	57.4	62.4	52.9	55.6	45.8	45.2	62.1	54	54.7	55.2	46.1	33.2	46.6	48.5	54.9	61.1	43.3	25.9	53	61.8	52.6	50.3
7	N/A	43.5	34.1	52.6	59.8	55.4	N/A	36.8	57.6	56	54.1	54.1	N/A	42.1	60	49	58.5	53.6	N/A	35.8	32	44.1	50	29.5	47.9
8	49.2	50.8	62.4	58	47.2	50.9	56.1	45.5	62	52.3	54.4	56.1	51.8	50	53.9	52.6	57.5	44.4	48.6	46.1	58.5	52.8	52.6	42.9	52.4
9	45.9	45.1	29.6	44	67.4	43	41.9	36	31.8	44	49.9	37.7	39.1	41.4	27.4	48.8	65.5	47.3	45	35.7	24.8	42.8	46.3	39.9	42.5
10	42.6	44.8	20.7	43.3	48.6	53.9	47.6	43.6	30.7	45.2	41	44.5	52.3	42.8	25.2	32.7	35.5	47.8	64.7	47.9	31.9	41.9	46.8	35.7	42.2
11	40.5	35.5	22.2	40.6	33.8	43.7	46	33.8	36.1	44	33.6	49.4	48	39.6	32.2	33.9	37.1	63.3	53.8	45.8	25.4	41.6	46.2	47.9	40.6
12	38.3	37.8	24.1	46.5	42.9	46.7	40.8	33.5	29	47.9	50.3	38.4	35.8	31.6	26.3	35.7	42.3	35.7	46.9	46	27.2	55	41.1	30.6	38.8
AVERAGE	45	44	28.2	47.7	49.1	49	48	39.8	38	49	48	47	46	41	35	41	49	50	53	43	31	46	51	43	44.2
<b>Key for Subjects</b>	<b>Key for Schools</b>																								
A	1 Sampled School # 1																								
LF	2 Sampled School # 2																								
M	3 Sampled School # 3																								
G	4 Sampled School # 4																								
H	5 Sampled School # 5																								
BM	6 Sampled School # 6																								
E	Entrepreneurship repalced Business Mangement as from 2009																								

In addition, the researchers also wanted to establish whether English as the only medium of instruction influenced learners’ participation in ESL classrooms. The actual teachers’ responses are given in Table 2 and 3.

**Results and discussion**

The findings of this study would be presented in accordance to the research questions. Thus I would start by giving the finding of each question and discuss it.

It can be seen in Table 2 that nine Grade 10 ESL teachers said “no” to the first question, which dealt with whether English as the only medium of instruction was a barrier to effective teaching and learning, two agreed and one had no idea.

**Table 2:** Teachers' responses to the effects of English on teaching and learning

Questions	Responses			Total
	Yes	No	No idea	
• Is English as the only medium of instruction a barrier to effective teaching/learning?	2	9	1	12
• Is the policy on English as the only medium of instruction a barrier to teaching/learning?	2	10	0	12
• Does English as the only medium of instruction affect learners' participation in the classroom?	8	1	2	11

The second question sought to find out whether the policy on English as the only medium of instruction was a barrier to English Second Language teaching and learning. Ten teachers in the sample disagreed while two agreed. The responses in Table 2 demonstrated that nine ESL teachers did not see English as the only medium of instruction as a barrier to effective teaching and learning of the English Language. Ten also responded that they too did not view the policy on English as the only medium of instruction to be a barrier to teaching and learning. Teachers are change agents in schools and classrooms; therefore, a clear mandate of what they ought to do is important in discharging their duties. Teachers often carry out instructions from higher authorities without questioning the appropriateness, validity and relevance of such instructions. Shohany (2006) notes that teachers' preference for the English language has nothing to do with appropriateness and relevance of using English as medium of instruction, but because it is stated in the language educational policy.

The third question wanted to ascertain whether Grade 10 ESL teachers thought that having English as the only medium of instruction had a negative effect on learners' participation. Eight out of the 11 teachers agreed that having English as the only medium of instruction affected learners' participation in their classrooms. If learners do not participate in their

In Table 3, the researcher wanted to find out whether teachers perceived the English medium of instruction as a barrier to learning and asked respondents to give the reasons for their answers.

Table 3: English medium of instruction as a barrier to learning

Respondents	Responses
A1	All other subjects are taught in English.
A2	Explaining only in English is a problem for some learners as they do not understand only when you switch to the mother tongue do they understand.
A3	Learners get to practice the language more, "practice makes perfect, they say".
A4	The introduction of preschool has changed the situation in some schools and yet those whose schools that delayed the introduction of pre-schools has suffered.
A5	The English medium of instruction at school must be compulsory because this prepares learners for the University, and not all other languages are used at the University.
A6	Learners will develop a culture of using the target language in their daily lives.
A7	Most learners in secondary school come from different languages, cultures, so teaching and learning in English will favour all learners at once.
A8	Learners lack vocabulary and it is good sometimes to code-switch so that they can get the meaning better and translate.
A10	Ministerial policies (government) are problematic. They encourage failure and dependency/laziness as well as irresponsibility, immorality and even un-accountability.
A11	No, because learners have been passing well despite the foreign language being used as the medium of instruction.
A12	Teachers have difficulties so they seem restricted/are very conservative in conversation with the regards to English.

Ten Grade 10 ESL teachers responded that they did not perceive English medium of instruction as a barrier to learning and gave varying reasons for their responses such as: “All other subjects are taught in English; learners get to practice the language more, “practice makes perfect, they say”; the English medium of instruction at school must be compulsory because this prepares learners for the University, and not all other languages are used at the University; learners will develop a culture of using the target language in their daily lives; most learners in secondary school come from different languages, cultures, so teaching and learning in English will favour all learners at once; no, because learners have been passing well despite the foreign language being used as the medium of instruction”.

The majority (eight) ESL teachers were in agreement that English as the only medium of instruction did not hamper learners’ participation in their classrooms. Based on the findings in Table 3, teachers appear to have embraced English as the only medium of instruction in Namibian classrooms, though it seems to restrain their learners’ active participation. From the observations in the ESL classrooms low learner participation was found which is a common phenomenon is when the language of instruction/learning is not the learners’ mother tongue. In addition low learners’ participation might have impacted negatively on the learners’ end of year results in Namibia (see Table 1). In view of this problem, we suggest that teachers should therefore devise teaching strategies that help learners master content while at the same time learn the English language. As architects of their classrooms, teachers should be accorded opportunities to implement teaching strategies that accommodate mastery of content.

Seven of teachers advocated for Code Switching in English medium classrooms while two of the respondents seemed to blame the rigid Language Policy as a barrier to learning and teaching. Seven ESL teachers advocated for Code Switching in English medium instruction classrooms. The advocacy for Code Switching by the seven ESL teachers seems to legitimise the fact that teachers do not feel compelled to use English only when there is a need to code switch. However, to use the mother tongue in English medium classrooms requires mother tongue to be academically developed and understood by all learners. The use of the mother tongue in English medium classrooms according to Collier (1989) requires that the mother tongue had to be developed cognitively up to 12 years before it can be said to have been developed fully. This view is supported by The World Bank in collaboration with Tucker (1994) who argues that children need at least 12 years to learn their first language (L1); therefore, older children and adolescents are better learners of an second language (L2) than younger children. In this respect in the Namibian context, the mother tongue should ideally be used as a medium of instruction for at least the first five to seven years of education. Since formal education in Namibia starts at age seven, the mother tongue should therefore be used as a medium of instruction up to age 12 (for example, up to Grade 6 or 7 of primary school) before changing over to English as a medium of instruction. The use of mother tongue as medium of instruction up to Grade 7 could help develop the mother tongue academically for teachers to be able to code switch when the need arises. However, this is not the case in Namibia since the mother tongue is used as a medium of instruction up to Grade 3 only.

## **Conclusion**

The introduction of English as medium of instruction was oversight as it did not take into account that many Namibian teachers and learners were not proficient in English for it to be used as medium of instruction in schools. Though teachers had embraced English as the only medium of instruction in Namibian schools, many of them expressed the view that English only restrained their learners from participating in ESL classes. This low participation could have led to poor examination results at the end of the year.



### **Implication for teaching ESL in Namibian schools**

As long as English remains the official medium of instruction in Namibian classrooms, learners will fail achieve the desired results. And that teachers will teach through mother tongue as long as there is no one observing them, the use of the mother tongue in the English medium classrooms by both teachers requires ministerial guidelines on its use.

### **References:**

- Aguirre, A. (1988). Code-switching, intuitive knowledge and the bilingual classroom. In H.S. García & R.C. Chávez (Eds.) *Ethnolinguistic issues in education* (pp. 28-38). Lubbock, Texas: College of Education, Texas Tech University.
- Bennett, N., & Dunne, E. (2002). How children learn: Implications for practice. In S. M. B. Moon (Ed.), *Teaching, learning and the curriculum in secondary schools*, (pp. 32-37). London & New York: The Open University.
- Collier, V. (1989). How long? A synthesis of research on academic achievement in a second language. *TESOL Quarterly*, 23(3), 509 - 531.
- Donaldson, B. (2000, January 8). *Lingua Franca*. Retrieved 15 March 2009 from <http://www.au/rn/linguafranca/stories/2007/74455.htm>.
- English Language Teacher Development Project (ELTDP). (2000). Report on research into English language proficiency of teachers/student teachers and Basic Education principals. and teachers. perceptions of the use of English in Namibian schools. Windhoek: Centre for British Teachers (CfBt).
- Haacke, W. H. (1996). *Language and literature in Africa*. Windhoek: University of Namibia.
- Harlech-Jones, B. (1998). Vica English! Or is it time to review policy in education. *Reform forum: Journal reform in Namibia*, 6, 9.
- Hudelson, S. (1983). Beto at the sugar table: Code-switching in a bilingual classroom. In T.H. Escobedo (Ed.), *Early childhood bilingual education: A Hispanic perspective* (pp. 31-49). New York: Teacher's College Press.
- Huerta-Macias, A., & Quintero, E. (1992). *Code Switching, bilingual and biliteracy: Case Study*. Duluth: University of Minnesota.
- Huerta, A.G. (1978). *Code-switching among Spanish-English bilinguals: A sociolinguistic perspective*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Texas, Austin, Texas.
- Huerta, A.G. (1980). The acquisition of bilingualism: A codeswitching approach. In R. Bauman & J. Sherzer (Eds.), *Language and speech in American society: A compilation of research papers in sociolinguistics* (pp. 1-28). Austin, Texas: Southwest Educational Development Lab.
- Jansen, J. D. (1995). Understanding social transition through the lens of curriculum policy: Namibia and South Africa. *Journal of curriculum studies*, 27(3), 245-261.
- McClure, E. & Wentz, J. (1975). Functions of code-switching among Mexican-American children. In R. Grossman, L. San & T. Vance (Eds.), *Functionalism* (pp. 421-432). Chicago, Illinois: Univ. of Chicago, Dept. of Linguistics.
- Ministry of Basic Education and Culture (MBEC). (1999). *Education statistics. Education. 2367. management information systems (EMIS)*. Windhoek: MBEC.
- Ministry of Basic Education and Culture (MBEC). (2000). *Circular: DNEA 14/2000: JSC Examination: Examiners' report*. Windhoek: MBEC.
- Ministry of Education and Culture (MEC). (1992, June 22 - 23). *Namibia national conference on the implemtation of the language policy for schools*. Windhoek: Longman Namibia.
- Ministry of Education, Sport and Culture (MBESC). (2003). *A discussion doucment: The language policy for schools in Namibia*. Windhoek: MBESC.
- Moore, D. (2002). Case study: Code Switching and learning in the classroom. *International journal of bilingual education and bilingualism*, 5, 5.

- Murray, C. (2007). Reflections on the question of mother tongue instruction in Namibia. *NAWA journal of language and communication*, 69-77.
- Phillipson, R. (1992). *Linguistic imperialism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Poplack, S. (1981). Syntactic structure and social function of code-switching. In R. P. Duran & N. J. Rirchard (Eds.). *In Latino language and communivative behavior*. Norwood, N.J.: Ablex Pennycook.
- Roy-Campbell, Z. M. (1995). *Does the medium of instruction matter? The language question in Africa: The Tanzanian expereince*. Utafiti (New Series) Vol. 2, No 1 & 2. Harare: University of Zimbabwe.
- Wolfdaart, D. (2001). *Namibia: A Case for a Gradual Transitional Bilingual Language Programme*. Windhoek: Ministry Of Basic Education, Sport And Culture: Namibia
- Wolfaardt, D. (2004). *The influence of English in the Namibian examination context, Symposium Proceedings 366*, Windhoek: Ministry of Basic Education, Sport and Culture
- Wolfaardt, D. (2005). Namibia: A case for a gradual transitional bilingual programme. *Proceedings of the 4th Internal symposium on bilingual* (pp. 2368-2375). Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press.
- Zentella, A.C. (1978). *Code-switching and interactions among Puerto-Rican children* (Working papers in sociolinguistics). Austin, Texas: Southwest Educational Development Lab.