

---

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial teamis a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name:	Email:
Date Manuscript Received:05/10/2017	Date Manuscript Review Submitted:05/14/2017
Manuscript Title: <b>Intégration des TICE dans l'enseignement des Sciences de l'Ingénieur dans la filière Sciences Technologies Mécaniques aux lycées du Maroc : Réalité et Obstacles</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0593/17	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-lesspoint rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(abrief explanationis recommendable)</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(abrief explanationis recommendable)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(abrief explanationis recommendable)</i>	

<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(abrief explanationis recommendable)</i>	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(abrief explanationis recommendable)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(abrief explanationis recommendable)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(a brief explanationis recommendable)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation**(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

In this paper the authors investigated the impact of the use of Information and communication technology (ICT) in training of the Mechanical Science and Technology stream in high schools belonging to two regional Moroccan academies (Grand Casablanca-Settat and the Oriental). I think that the authors present here interesting investigations for the Moroccan education system. However, the target population in the authors' study is very limited (27 teachers). So, the authors must extend their studies for a large number of teachers in all the regions of Morocco so that their statistical results are scientifically reliable. Despite this remark, I recommend this paper for publication in the ESJ, but with following minor corrections:

- The English abstract contains the abbreviation (**ES**). So the authors should give it signification before use it. The same remark for the abbreviations **CFAO, NTIC**
- The survey questions in Figure 2 are incomplete
- The used software in the authors' survey are simple (Word, Excel, PowerPoint..) and not specifics to the used discipline in their investigations. I think that the authors should use a specific softwars used in the training of the Mechanical Science and Technology, as AutoCAD, openmeca, optgeo...

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

